CSC236 fall 2016

Theory of computation

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu / BA4270 (behind elevators) http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc236h/fall/ 416-978-5899

use Introduction to the Theory of Computation, Section 1.2





Outline

Introduction

chaper 1, simple induction

Notes

why reason about computing?

more than just hacking

testing isn't enough

▶ careful, you might get to like it (?!*)

how to reason about computing

▶ it's messy...

▶ it's art...

how to do well

read the course information sheet as a two-way promise

question, answer, record, synthesize

▶ collaborate with respect, e.g. registered study group



you should already know...

- ► Chapter 0 material from Introduction to Theory of Computation
- ► CSC165 material, especially the mathematical prerequisites (Chapter 1.5), proof techniques (Chapter 3), and the introduction to big-Oh (Chapter 4).
- ▶ But you can relax the structure (more on this later)
- recursion, efficiency material from CSC148



you'll know by December...

▶ understand, and use, several flavours of induction

 complexity and correctness of programs — both recursive and iterative

▶ formal languages, regular languages, regular expressions





domino fates foretold

| MINO O | omino-1 | omino-2 | MINO-3 | MINO-4 | OMINO-5 | MINO-6 | OMINO-7 | MINO-8 | MINO-9 | OMINO-10 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| NO _O | DOM | DOM | DOM | DOM | DOM | DOMIN | DOM | DOM | DOM | DOM |

$$[\ P(0) \ \land \ (\, orall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1) \,) \,] \Longrightarrow orall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$

If the initial case works, and each case that works implies its successor works, then all cases work





simple induction outline

inductive step: state inductive hypothesis H(n)

derive conclusion C(n): show that C(n) follows from H(n), indicating where you use H(n) and why that is valid

verify base case(s): verify that the claim is true for any cases not covered in the inductive step

in simple induction H(n) is the claim you intend to prove about n, and C(n) is the same claim about n+1— "simple" because the reasoning moves from n to n+1.





how many subsets of a set?

▶ list the subsets of {7}

▶ now list the subsets of $\{7, 13\}$

▶ is there a connection between the lists that helps you count them?

Every set with n elements has exactly 2^n subsets...

scratch work: check a few more sets...

Every set with n elements has exactly 2^n subsets...

use the simple induction outline

Every set with n elements has exactly 2^n subsets...

use the simple induction outline

 $3^n \ge n^3$?

scratch work: check for a few values of n

 $3^n \geq n^3$

use the simple induction outline

 $3^n \geq n^3$

use the simple induction outline

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $12^n - 1$ is a multiple of 11

scratch work: substitute a few values for n

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}, 12^n-1$ is a multiple of 11 use the simple induction outline

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}, 12^n-1$ is a multiple of 11 use the simple induction outline

The units digit of 3^n is either 1, 3, 7, or 9

scratch work: substitute a few values for n

The units digit of 3^n is either 1, 3, 7, or 9 use the simple induction outline

The units digit of 3^n is either 1, 3, 7, or 9 use the simple induction outline

What about: the units digit of 3^n is either 1, 2, 3, 7, or 9

use the simple induction outline

is the claim still true? What happens if you add this other case to the inductive step?

Notes

