

In the office hours this week, we discussed the following topics:

- We went over the closed form of *mergeSort* for \hat{n} . Recall that the recurrence relation we have for the algorithm is as follows:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) + n + 1 & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Also, recall that $\hat{n} = 2^k$. Hence,

$$T(\hat{n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2}\right) + \hat{n} + 1 & n > 1 \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

We start from the recursive component of (*), and unwind it. We do not simplify it too much in order to see a pattern:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\hat{n}) &= 2T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2}\right) + \hat{n} + 1 \\ &= 2\left(2T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2^2}\right) + \frac{\hat{n}}{2} + 1\right) + \hat{n} + 1 && \text{unwind it more by plug in } \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \text{ into } T(\hat{n}) \text{ in } (*) \\ &= 2^2 T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2^2}\right) + 2\frac{\hat{n}}{2} + 2 + \hat{n} + 1 && \text{make sure not to simplify it too much} \\ &= 2^2 \left(2T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2^3}\right) + \frac{\hat{n}}{2^2} + 1\right) + 2\frac{\hat{n}}{2} + 2 + \hat{n} + 1 && \text{unwind it more by plug in } \frac{\hat{n}}{2^2} \text{ into } T(\hat{n}) \text{ in } (*) \\ &= 2^3 T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2^3}\right) + 2^2 \frac{\hat{n}}{2^2} + 2^2 + 2\frac{\hat{n}}{2} + 2 + \hat{n} + 1 && \text{make sure not to simplify it too much} \\ &\dots && \text{continue unwinding to see a general pattern in terms of } k \\ &= 2^k T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2^k}\right) + 2^{k-1} \frac{\hat{n}}{2^{k-1}} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2^2 \frac{\hat{n}}{2^2} + 2^2 + 2\frac{\hat{n}}{2} + 2 + \hat{n} + 1 \\ &\text{since } \hat{n} = 2^k, \quad T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2^k}\right) = T(1) = 1, \text{ now let's rearrange the terms} \\ &= \underbrace{2^k + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0}_{2^{k+1} - 1} + \underbrace{2^{k-1} \frac{\hat{n}}{2^{k-1}} + \dots + 2^2 \frac{\hat{n}}{2^2} + 2^1 \frac{\hat{n}}{2^1} + 2^0 \frac{\hat{n}}{2^0}}_{k \cdot \hat{n}} \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 + k \cdot \hat{n} \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^k - 1 + k \cdot \hat{n} \\ &= 2\hat{n} - 1 + (\lg \hat{n})\hat{n} \end{aligned}$$

hence $T(\hat{n}) \in \theta(\hat{n} \lg \hat{n})$

So, we obtained the closed form for the time complexity of *mergeSort* for certain sizes (powers of k) as: $T(\hat{n}) = \hat{n} (\lg \hat{n}) + 2\hat{n} - 1$. Because of “...” in above calculations, one may ask how we know this is correct. So, we should prove it, that I left it as a practice for you.

From the closed form of $T(\hat{n})$, we concluded that $T(\hat{n}) \in \theta(\hat{n} \lg \hat{n})$. (**)

We stated that in the course note, under Lemma 3.6, it has proved that for *mergeSort*:

$$T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2}\right) \leq T(n) \leq T(\hat{n}) \quad \text{when } \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \leq n \leq \hat{n} \quad (***)$$

From (**), we generalized (***) and made the *conjecture* that $T(n) \in \theta(n \lg n)$. In the [lecture](#), we proved $T(n) \in \Omega(n \lg n)$, and as a practice I asked you to prove $T(n) \in O(n \lg n)$.

- Another very important topic that we discussed during the office hours is as follows. Compare how we conducted the asymptotic analysis for *binSearch* last week with the analysis that we did for *mergeSort* this week (mentioned above too).
 - For *binSearch*,
 1. We estimated a *rough* closed form for $T(n)$.
 2. From the rough closed form, we made a conjecture that $T(n) \in \theta(\lg n)$.
 3. Then, we proved our conjecture, using induction.
 - For *mergeSort*,
 1. We obtained an *exact* closed form for *certain* sizes in $T(n)$, denoted it by $T(\hat{n})$ where $\hat{n} = 2^k$. We left the correctness proof of $T(\hat{n})$ as a practice.
 2. From the exact closed form for \hat{n} and the lemma that $T(n)$ is *monotonic* and *increasing*, i.e. $T\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{2}\right) \leq T(n) \leq T(\hat{n})$, we made a conjecture that $T(n) \in \theta(n \lg n)$
 3. Then, we proved our conjecture.

Either of the two approaches above can be applied to the other algorithm (and may apply to any algorithms you see in future).

- We also briefly discussed Q3 of this week's [tutorial](#). In particular, after the following observation

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= T(n-1) + n - 2 \\
 &= T(n-2) + n - 1 - 2 + n - 2 = T(n-2) + 2n - 5 \\
 &= T(n-3) + n - 2 - 2 + 2n - 5 = T(n-3) + 3n - 9 \\
 &= T(n-4) + n - 3 - 2 + 3n - 9 = T(n-4) + 4n - 14 \\
 &= T(n-5) + n - 4 - 2 + 3n - 9 = T(n-5) + 5n - 20
 \end{aligned}$$

We made the following table, by which we tried to find a pattern for the last term:

| k | value | | |
|-----|-------|-------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | =0+2 | =(1*2)/2-1+2 |
| 2 | 5 | =2+3 | =(2*3)/2-1+3 |
| 3 | 9 | =5+4 | =(3*4)/2-1+4 |
| 4 | 14 | =9+5 | =(4*5)/2-1+5 |
| 5 | 20 | =14+6 | =(5*6)/2-1+6 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... |

$$T(n) = T(n - k) + kn - \left(\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2} - 1 \right) + k + 1 \right)$$

The rest should be obvious. We also discussed that too much simplification in unwinding could make it difficult to find the pattern.

- We also discussed common mistakes of Test 1 as follows:
 - Putting quantification inside definition of $P(n)$ in proof by induction.
 - Putting quantification inside inductive hypothesis in proof by induction.
 - Not assuming arbitrary elements in the inductive hypothesis of structural induction.