

# **CSC236** *Intro. to the Theory of Computation*

## **Lecture 2: Strong Induction**

---

Amir H. Chinaei, Fall 2016

Office Hours: W 2-4 BA4222

[ahchinaei@cs.toronto.edu](mailto:ahchinaei@cs.toronto.edu)

<http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~ahchinaei/>

*Course page:*

<http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc236h/fall/index.html>

*Section page:*

[http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc236h/fall/amir\\_lectures.html](http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc236h/fall/amir_lectures.html)

# recall

- ❖ use all resources available to you
  - before it becomes too late!
- ❖ what resources?
  - office Hours:
    - M 2-3:30 in PT286C, W 2-4 BA4222, F 3:30-4:30 BA4270
  - the [course page](#) and [our section](#) page
  - the [CS Help Centre](#)
  - the [course forum](#)
  - study groups and Peer Instruction
  - email ahchinaei @ cs.toronto.edu

# review

## ❖ Last week

- **Simple Induction**

- AKA: Mathematical Induction or Principle of Mathematical Induction

- 17 examples

## ❖ This week

- **Strong Induction**

- AKA: Complete Induction or Second Principle of Mathematical Induction

## ❖ Next week

- **Structural Induction**

- **Well Ordering**

# review

## ❖ Simple Induction

- it's a rule of inference:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} P(b) \\ P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1) \quad \forall k \geq b \in \mathbb{N} \end{array}}{P(n) \quad \forall n \geq b \in \mathbb{N}}$$

- after all,
  - To show that all domino pieces fall over, we should show that
    - 1) there is a starting point, i.e.,  $P(b)$  holds
    - and 2) all pieces are set in a well order such that falling of piece  $k$  implies falling of piece  $k+1$   
i.e., and  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$  holds too.

# yet another example

- ❖ **Example 19.** a student who went to office hour 01 has provided the following claim and proof. Is it valid?
- ❖ **Conjecture:** doubts in csc236 can be clarified by further discussion each week (e.g., going to the week's office hours).
  - Let  $P(n)$  denotes  $d_n$  –read doubts of week  $n$ –can be clarified by further discussion.
  - Proof by simple induction.
  - **Basis step:**  $P(1)$  holds as new doubts were clarified in office hours of week 01.
  - **Inductive step:** we assume that doubts of week  $k$  can be clarified by further discussion in that week. We need to show that doubts of week  $k+1$  can be clarified too. There are two cases: doubts of week  $k+1$  are either from week  $k$  (that can be clarified by further discussion, based on the **I.H.**) or they are new doubts (basis step). This completes the inductive step.
  - Therefore, by simple induction, all doubts in csc236 can be clarified by further discussion.



# proof by strong induction


## ❖ recipe:

- to prove that  $P(n)$  is true for all natural numbers  $n$ , we should demonstrate these steps:
  - **Proof method:** “strong induction”
  - **Basis step:** show that  $P(n)$  is true for some starting point(s), usually 0 or 1 but not always
  - **Inductive step:** show that  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$  is true for all natural numbers  $k$  *greater than the starting point*.
    - to complete the inductive step, assume  $H$  —i.e.,  $P(i)$ — holds *for all  $i$ 's where  $b \leq i \leq k$*  for an arbitrary natural number  $k$ , show that  $C$  must be true.

# revisit: proof by simple induction

---

fall of the  $k+1^{\text{th}}$  piece is implied by fall of the previous piece,  $k$




In the Inductive Step, we show that:

$$f(d_k) \text{ implies } f(d_{k+1})$$

# proof by strong induction

fall of the  $k+1^{\text{th}}$  piece is implied by fall of all previous pieces,  $b..k$



In the Inductive Step, we show that:

$$f(d_b) \wedge f(d_{b+1}) \wedge .. \wedge f(d_k) \text{ implies } f(d_{k+1})$$



## Example 20:

$P(n)$ :  $n$  can be written as product of prime numbers;  $\forall n \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*scratch work*

n	products	P(n)
...	...	...

# Example 20:

$P(n)$ :  $n$  can be written as product of primes;  $\forall n \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Proof by Strong Induction

**Basis step:**  $P(2)$  holds because 2 is prime.

**Inductive step:**

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume  $P(i)$  holds for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $2 \leq i \leq k$  for any arbitrary fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e., we assume all numbers less than or equal  $k$  can be written as product of primes.

**We need to show that  $P(k+1)$  holds too**, i.e.,  $k+1$  can be written as product of primes too.

There are two cases: if  $k+1$  is prime, we are done as  $P(k+1)$  holds.

If  $k+1$  is not prime, it's composite and can be written as:

## Example 20:

$P(n)$ :  $n$  can be written as product of primes;  $\forall n \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $k+1$  is not prime, it's composite and can be written as:

$$k+1 = m \cdot n \text{ where } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } 2 \leq m, n < k+1, \text{ i.e., } 2 \leq m, n \leq k$$

By the Inductive hypothesis,  $m$  and  $n$  each can be written by product of primes. Therefore,  $k+1$  is a product of primes, i.e.,  $P(k+1)$  holds too.

This completes the inductive step.

Therefore, by strong induction, it proves that  $\forall n \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n$  can be written as product of primes.



# Example 21:

$P(n)$ : any postage  $n$  that is 18 cents or more can exactly be stamped using just 4-cent and 7-cent stamps.

*scratch work*

n	stamps	P(n)
18	$1*4+2*7$	✓
...	...	...

# Example 21:


$P(n)$ :  $n$  can be written as  $a*4 + b*7$ ;  $\forall n \geq 18 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof by Strong Induction

## Example 21:


$P(n)$ :  $n$  can be written as  $a*4 + b*7$ ;  $\forall n \geq 18 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Example 22:



*Make a conjecture to specify the minimum number of breaks to break a chocolate bar to all chocolate squares. Proof your claim.*

*scratch work*



## Example 22:



## Example 22:

# Example 23:

Let  $f(n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n = 1 \\ f^2(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor) + 2f(\sqrt{n}) & n > 1 \end{cases}$ , prove  $f(n)$  is a multiple of 8.

*scratch work*



## Example 23:

## Example 23:

# Example 24:

*Prove that every simple polygon with  $n$  sides can be composed of  $n-2$  triangles.*

*scratch work*



## Example 24:

$P(n)$ : An  $n$ -sided polygon can be triangulated to  $n-2$  triangles;  $\forall n \geq 3 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Example 24:

$P(n)$ : An  $n$ -sided polygon can be triangulated to  $n-2$  triangles;  $\forall n \geq 3 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# strong induction recipe (revisited)

0. write out the claim as: “**Let  $P(n)$  denote the claim in terms of  $n$** ”  
follow next steps to show that  $P(n)$  holds  $\forall n \geq b \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $b$  is starting point(s)
1. write out “**Proof method: strong induction**”
2. write out “**Basis step:**” followed by reasoning that  $P(b)$  is true
3. write out “**Inductive step:**”
  - 3.1. write out “**Inductive hypothesis:** we assume  $P(i)$  is true  $\forall i, b \leq i \leq k$ ”  
where  $P(i)$  is the claim in terms of  $i$
  - 3.2. reason that  $P(k+1)$  is true
    - note 1:** in your reasoning here, you must use the inductive hypothesis
    - note 2:** be sure your reasoning is true for any  $k \geq b$ , including  $k=b$
  - 3.3. write out “**This completes the inductive step**”
4. write out “**This proves  $P(n)$  is true for  $\forall n \geq b \in \mathbb{N}$** ” where  $P(n)$  is the claim in terms of  $n$
5. Indicate end of proof by “ $\square$ ”.



# Notes:

# Notes: