

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
Faculty of Arts and Science

term test #1, Version 1
CSC165H1S

Date: Tuesday October 10, 6:10–7:00pm

Duration: 50 minutes

Instructor(s): Danny Heap

No Aids Allowed

Name:

utorid:

U of T email:

Please read the following guidelines carefully!

- Please write your name on both the front and back of this exam.
 - This examination has 4 questions. There are a total of 8 pages, **DOUBLE-SIDED**.
 - Answer questions clearly and completely. Provide justification unless explicitly asked not to.
 - All formulas must have negations applied directly to propositional variables or predicates.
 - In your proofs, you may always use definitions of predicates from the course. You may *not* use any external facts about rates of growth, divisibility, primes, or greatest common divisor unless you prove them, or they are given to you in the question.
-

Take a deep breath.
This is your chance to show us
How much you've learned.

Good luck!

1. [7 marks] Translating statements.

A **perfect square** is a number that is the product of another number times itself, for example 1, 4, and 9 are perfect squares. A **square-free integer** is an integer that is divisible by no perfect square other than 1.

Express each of the following statements using predicate logic. No justification is required. Note: please review the instructions on the midterm's front page for our expectations in this question. In particular, you may *not* define any helper predicates or sets.

- (a) [3 marks] 24 is not a square-free integer.

Solution

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \wedge n^2 \mid 24$$

- (b) [4 marks] 97 is the largest square-free number less than 101.

Solution

$$[\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n > 1 \Rightarrow n^2 \nmid 97)] \wedge [\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, [(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1 \Rightarrow k^2 \nmid m) \wedge m > 97] \Rightarrow m \geq 101]$$

2. [6 marks] Statements in logic.

(a) [3 marks] Write the truth table for the following formula. No rough work is required.

$$((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow \neg p$$

Solution

p	q	r	$((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow \neg p$
F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	T	T	F

(b) [3 marks] Consider the pair of statements:

$$(1) \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \Rightarrow Q(n)$$

$$(2) \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \wedge Q(n)$$

Define the predicates P and Q with domain \mathbb{N} so that one of these statements is true and the other one false. Note that you're only defining the predicates *once*: the two statements must use the same definitions for P and Q . Also, briefly explain which statement is true and which one is false, and why; no formal proofs necessary.

Solution

Let $P(n)$ be the predicate " $n < 0$ " and $Q(n)$ be the predicate " $n \geq 0$ ".

The first statement becomes $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n < 0 \Rightarrow n \geq 0$, which is true by vacuous truth (the hypothesis is false for all natural numbers). The second statement becomes $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n < 0 \wedge n \geq 0$, which is false, since no natural numbers are less than 0.

3. [6 marks] **Proofs (inequalities).** Consider the following statement: “For every natural number x there is a real number y such that $1650 > xy > 165$.”

(a) [1 mark] Translate the above statement into predicate logic.

Solution

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, xy < 1650 \wedge xy > 165$$

- (b) [1 mark] Write the negation of this statement, fully-simplified so that all negation symbols are applied directly to predicates. You can simplify $\neg(a > b)$ to $a \leq b$.

Solution

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{N}, \forall y, xy \geq 1650 \vee xy \leq 165$$

- (c) [4 marks] Disprove the original statement by proving its negation. In your proof, any chains of calculations must follow a top-down order; don't start with the inequality you're trying to prove!

Write any rough work or intuition in the **Discussion** box, and write your formal proof in the **Proof** box. Your rough work/intuition will only be looked at if your proof is not completely correct.

Solution

Proof. Let $x = 0$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $xy = 0 \leq 165$. ■

□

4. [5 marks] **Proofs (number theory)**. Consider the following statement: “If m and n are integers, and 5 divides both m and n , then 5 divides $m - n$.”

(a) [1 mark] Translate the above statement into predicate logic.

Solution

$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 5 \mid m \wedge 5 \mid n \Rightarrow 5 \mid (m - n)$ Also allow the literal, improperly-introduced m and n : $(m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 5 \mid m \wedge 5 \mid n) \Rightarrow 5 \mid (m - n)$

(b) [4 marks] Prove the above statement using the definition of divisibility:

$$x \mid y : \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, y = kx$$

Do not use any external facts about divisibility.

Write any rough work or intuition in the **Discussion** box, and write your formal proof in the **Proof** box. Your rough work/intuition will only be looked at if your proof is not completely correct.

Solution

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $5 \mid m$ and $5 \mid n$, that is $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 5k_1 \wedge n = 5k_2$. Let k_1, k_2 be such values. Let $k_3 = k_1 - k_2$.

Then $m - n = 5k_1 - 5k_2 = 5(k_1 - k_2) = 5k_3$ ■.

□

This page is left nearly blank for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly *at the location of the original question*.

This page is left nearly blank for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly *at the location of the original question*.

Name:

Question	Grade	Out of
Q1		7
Q2		6
Q3		6
Q4		5
Total		24