

errata in notes: csc165f17-errata@cs.toronto.edu

office hour:
MTW 4--5
I will
Lalla's
hours

CSC165 fall 2017

Mathematical expression:
modularity, prime characterization

Danny Heap

csc16517f@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

Web page:

<http://www.teach.cs.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F17/>

416-978-5899

Using Course notes: Proof



Outline

notes



proof pieces

A proof is a sequence of statements that flows left-to-right, top-to-bottom, each new statement justified by one or more of:

- ▶ given assumptions unpacked
- ▶ preceding statements *derive, deduce*
- ▶ external facts cited (if allowed) —

The concluding statement should be what the proof claims.

*Sometimes headers suggest where
you're going*



useful pieces

We prove a powerful alternate definition of a number being prime using some external facts that are proven either in this week's worksheets or (last fact) in problem set 2.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, x \mid x \quad (\text{Claim 1})$$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{N}, y \geq 1 \wedge x \mid y \Rightarrow 1 \leq x \wedge x \leq y \quad (\text{Claim 2})$$

$$\forall n, p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(p) \wedge p \nmid n \Rightarrow \underline{\text{gcd}(p, n)} = 1 \quad (\text{Claim 3})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{gcd}(n, m) \geq 1 \quad (\text{Claim 4})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{gcd}(n, m) \mid (rn + sm) \quad (\text{Claim 5})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, rn + sm = \text{gcd}(n, m) \quad (\text{Claim 6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gcd}(4, 6) &= 2 = -1 \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 6 \\ \text{gcd}(4, 5) &= 1 = -1 \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$$

warmup

You showed in tutorial that if m and n are odd, so is mn .

What is the translation of this into predicate logic? What is the corresponding claim for m and n not being divisible by 3?

What about by 4? Which claims are true?

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid m \wedge 2 \nmid n &\Rightarrow 2 \nmid mn \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \nmid m \wedge 3 \nmid n &\Rightarrow 3 \nmid mn \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 4 \nmid m \wedge 4 \nmid n &\Rightarrow 4 \nmid mn \quad X \\ &\text{counterex } m=n=2 \quad \text{False} \end{aligned}$$

works for 5
Not for 6 ...



\Leftrightarrow means \Rightarrow \Leftarrow
 spoiler: primes are special $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$
 contrapositive

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n > 1 \wedge (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \Rightarrow n \nmid ab)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Prime}(n)$$

Prove \Rightarrow , assume, derive

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg \text{Prime}(n) \Rightarrow \neg (\text{wavy line})$$

$$\downarrow, n \leq 1 \vee (\exists d \in \mathbb{N}, d \mid n \wedge d \neq 1 \wedge d \neq n)$$

$$\Rightarrow (n \leq 1 \vee (\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \wedge n \mid ab))$$

discussion $6 \nmid 2 \wedge 6 \nmid 3 \wedge 6 \mid 2 \cdot 3$

$$8 \nmid 2 \wedge 8 \nmid 4 \wedge 8 \mid 2 \cdot 4$$

use the fact that can't divide bigger into smaller
 a least in naturals



prove converse...

Prove. ~~that~~ \Leftarrow

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n > 1 \wedge (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \Rightarrow n \nmid ab)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Prime}(n)$$

$\gcd(n, a) = 1$, then $1 = sa + tn$, for $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$

e.g. $\gcd(5, 8) = 1 = -1 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 8 = -3 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 8$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \nmid a$ & $n \nmid b$

$$1 = s_1 a + t_1 n$$

$$1 = s_2 b + t_2 n$$

since $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, Claim 6
into $s_3 ab + t_3 n = 1$?



linear combinations

$\forall a, b, c, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, (a \mid b \wedge a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bp + cq))$

discuss $b = k_1 a \wedge c = k_2 a$

$$\begin{aligned} bp + cq &= pk_1 a + qk_2 a \\ &= a(pk_1 + qk_2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof Let $a, b, c, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $a \mid b \wedge a \mid c$.

That is $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, b = ak_1 \wedge c = ak_2$. Let

k_1, k_2 be such values. Let $k_3 = pk_1 + qk_2$.

we show that $a \mid k_3 = pb + qc$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } ak_3 &= apk_1 + aqk_2 \quad \begin{matrix} \# \text{ sub } k_1 \\ \# k_2 \end{matrix} \\ &= pa k_1 + qa k_2 \\ &= pb + qc \quad \square \end{aligned}$$



modular multiplication $n \mid a-c$, $n \mid b-d$ $\mid ab-cd$

$\forall a, b, c, d, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \wedge a \equiv c \pmod{n} \wedge b \equiv d \pmod{n} \Rightarrow ab \equiv cd \pmod{n}$

discuss know $n \mid (a-c)$

... try multiplying by b

$$n \mid b(a-c) + c(b-d)$$

$$n \mid ba - bc + bc - cd = ab - cd$$

$$n \mid (ab - cd)$$



modular multiplication

$\forall a, b, c, d, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \wedge a \equiv c \pmod{n} \wedge b \equiv d \pmod{n} \Rightarrow ab \equiv cd \pmod{n}$

Let $a, b, c, d, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $n \neq 0$. Assume
 $a \equiv c \pmod{n} \wedge b \equiv d \pmod{n}$. We want to
show $ab \equiv cd \pmod{n}$.

Then $a \equiv c \pmod{n} \Rightarrow n \mid (a-c)$

Similarly $n \mid (b-d)$

So $n \mid b(a-c) + c(b-d)$ # by linear combo \leftarrow

$$n \mid ba - bc + cb - cd$$

$$n \mid (ab - cd)$$



Notes

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 \equiv b_1 \\ a_2 \equiv b_2 \\ a_3 \equiv b_3 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \equiv b_k \end{array} \right\} \pmod{h}$$

$$a_1, \dots, a_k \equiv b_1, \dots, b_k \pmod{h}$$

RSA
public
key

$$8 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$8 \cdot 8 \equiv 1 \cdot 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$8 \times 8 \times \dots \times 8 \equiv 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$6 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$

$$6 \cdot 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$9 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

$$9^{100} \equiv ? \pmod{7}$$

$$(9^3)^{33} \cdot 9 \equiv 8^{33} \cdot 9 \equiv 1^{33} \cdot 9 \pmod{7} \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

