```
S1: \forall n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3)
```

1. Write the proof structure for the direct proof of S1.

```
Assume n_1 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic element of \mathbb{N}.

Choose n_2 = ????. # choice may depend on n_1

# may have to prove n_2 is natural

Then n_2 \in \mathbb{N}. # instantiated existential

Assume n_3 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic element of \mathbb{N}

Assume P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # assume the antecedent

# some arguments leading to Q

Then Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # derive the consequent

Then P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # antecedent leads to consequent

Then \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # n_3 was generic

Then \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # chose n_2 that worked

Conclude \forall n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # n_1 was generic Conclude S1. # previous line
```

2. Write the structure for the direct disproof of S1.

```
Choose n_1 = ????. # crafty choice to refute the claim
\overset{\cdot}{:} \ \# \ \mathrm{may} \ \mathrm{have} \ \mathrm{to} \ \mathrm{prove} \ n_1 \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{natural}
Then n_1 \in \mathbb{N}. # instantiated existential
Assume n_2 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic natural number
  Choose n_3 = ????. # another crafty choice, may depend on n_2
  \vdots # may have to prove n_3 is natural
  Then n_3 \in \mathbb{N}. # instantiated existential
  \vdots # arguments leading to P(n_1, n_2, n_3)
  Then P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # antecedent follows
  \vdots # arguments leading to \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3)
  Then \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # negation of consequent follows
  Then P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \wedge \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # conjunction
  \exists n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \land \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). \# \text{ chose } n_3 \text{ that works}
Then \forall n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \land \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # n_2 was generic
Conclude \exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \land \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # chose n_1 that works
Conclude ¬S1. # previous line
```

3. Write the structure for proof by contradiction of S1.

```
Assume \neg S1. # to derive a contradiction

Then \exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \land \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # by assumption

\vdots # some arguments that lead to contradiction

contradiction! # yeehaw!

Conclude S1. # since assuming \neg S1 led to contradiction
```

4. Write the proof structure for the proof of the contrapositive of S1.

```
Assume n_1 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic element of \mathbb{N}.

Choose n_2 = ????. # choice may depend on n_1

# may have to prove n_2 is natural

Then n_2 \in \mathbb{N}. # instantiated existential

Assume n_3 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic element of \mathbb{N}

Assume \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # assume the negation of the consequent

# some arguments leading to \neg P

Then \neg P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # derive the negation of the antecedent

Then \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow \neg P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # \neg Q leads to \neg P

Then \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow \neg P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # n_3 was generic

Then \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow \neg P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # chose n_2 that worked

Conclude \forall n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow \neg P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # n_1 was generic

Conclude \forall n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, \neg Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # by proof of contrapositive Conclude S1. # previous line
```

5. Write the proof structure for the direct proof of S1, assuming you need separate cases when n_1 is even or odd.

```
Assume n_1 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic element of \mathbb{N}.
  Case 1, assume n_1 even:
     Choose n_2 = ????. # choice may depend on even n_1
     \vdots # may have to prove n_2 is natural
     Then n_2 \in \mathbb{N}. # instantiated existential
     Assume n_3 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic element of \mathbb{N}
        Assume P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # assume the antecedent
           \# some arguments leading to Q
           Then Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # derive the consequent
        Then P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # antecedent leads to consequent
     Then \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # n_3 was generic
     Then \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # chose n_2 that worked
  Case 2, assume n_1 odd:
     Choose n_2 = ????. # may depend on odd n_1
     \vdots # may need to prove n_2 is natural
     Then n_2 \in \mathbb{N}. # instantiated existential
     Assume n_3 \in \mathbb{N}. # generic element of \mathbb{N}
        Assume P(n_1, n_2, n_3). # assume the antecedent
           \# some arguments leading to Q
           Then Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # derive the consequent
        Then P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # antecedent leads to consequent
     Then \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # n_3 was generic
     Then \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # chose n_2 that worked
Then \forall n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Rightarrow Q(n_1, n_2, n_3). # worked in both possible cases
```