CSC 165

non-boolean functions
week 7, lecture 2
Danny Heap
heap@cs.toronto.edu

WWW.cdf.toronto.edu/"heap/165/F09

BA4270 office how: how about FM@ 1:30

past test + assignment: when Gony

passes them on, I'll carry then
to lecture (for a while).

coursework modifications

Three imminent pieces of coursework aren't ready for your attention yet. Let's vote on:

A: Replace exercises 5 and 6 by exercises 3 and 4, delay assignment 2 rationale: the original exercises 3 and 4 were due yesterday, and assignment 2 next week, and you need reasonable time to do them.

For - 3 + Against - 0

B (if A passes): spread the 6% weight of the missing two exercises uniformly over all other pieces of course work (2 tests, 4 exercises, 3 assignments, tutorials),

increasing each weight by 0.6%

C VS D 19

C (compare to B): spread the 6% weight of the two missing exercises uniformly over all future term work (1 test, 2 assignments, 2 exercises) tutorial increasing each weight by 100 mcluding A gains! - 6

D (compare to B and C): spread the 6% weight of the two missing exercises uniformly over future exercises and assignments, increasing each weight by 1.5%

scratch

be careful quantifying non-booleans

we're used to functions and conditions such as "odd," "even", > returning boolean (true, false) results, and combining them with quantifiers such as \forall or \exists



what about functions that return other values — natural numbers, real numbers, for example?



computer scientists often use an innocent-looking function
$$\lfloor x \rfloor$$
, meaning: $y = \lfloor x \rfloor$ if and only if $y \in \mathbb{Z} \land y \leq x \land (\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}, z \leq x \Rightarrow z \leq y)$

as a warm-up, use the definition to prove that |x| is always less than x+1.

$$orall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor x
floor < x+1$$
 $y = (egin{array}{c} x
floor & x
fle$

how many parts of the definition of |x| did you need in $orall oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor oldsymbol{x}
floor > oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{1}$ previous proof? For more challenge, try: $y=\lfloor x
floor$ if and only if $y\in \mathbb{Z} \land y \leq x \land (orall z \in \mathbb{Z}, z \leq x \Rightarrow z \leq y)$ assume & ETR # X is generic fot y = Lx] Then $y \leq \chi \pm by$ defin $(t \geq \epsilon Z), Z \leq \chi \Rightarrow Z \leq y)$ # by defn 427 \$# from Lefn. Then y + 1 e l 1 y + 1 > y # by contra pos Conclude YXER, LXJ > X-1

proof by cases

You can prove by induction (CSC236) that:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists ! q \in \mathbb{N}, \exists ! r \in \mathbb{N}, m = qn + r \ ext{and} \ n > r \geq 0$$

 \exists ! is a compact way of saying there exists exactly one.

q and r are called the quotient and remainder, respectively. We also denote r by $m \bmod n = r$

A consequence is that any natural number n has a remainder of either 0, 1, or 2 after division by 3. What possible remainders are there for perfect squares after division by 3?

Would you believe:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 \bmod 3 \neq 2$$

Prove: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 \bmod 3 \neq 2$

I think you'll need cases for different possible results of $n \mod 3$