

CSC 165

indirect proof

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F09

implication not symmetrical

- proving that $p \Rightarrow q$ involves finding a chain of intermediate results

$$p \Rightarrow p_1 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow p_n \Rightarrow q$$

what happens if your search is unlucky?

- try reversing the search, use the contrapositive

recall that $p \Rightarrow q$ is true exactly when $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$

proving one proves the other

- for example, how would you go about proving

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 \text{ odd} \Rightarrow n \text{ odd}$$

direct proof difficult here

- You could get python to verify this for lots of natural numbers

for n in range(0,1000) :

$$n * n \% 2 == 0 \text{ or } n \% 2 == 1$$

but that's pretty lame

- or, imitate the direct proof of the converse:

Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Assume n^2 is odd. Then $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 = 2k + 1$ # definition of odd

hmmm...should we take the square root of $2k + 1$ or what????

Prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 \text{ odd} \Rightarrow n \text{ odd}$

same as: Prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg n \text{ odd} \Rightarrow \neg n^2 \text{ odd}$

scratch

getting contradictory

- what happens if you want to prove q ,
so you'd like some well-known p to imply q ,
but you can't decide which p is right for the job?
- why not just take the entire sum of well-known facts as your antecedent
$$p_0 \wedge p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q$$
- how does this help? this is equivalent to saying that
 $\neg q$ implies that some well-known fact is false — contradiction!
$$\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p_0 \vee \neg p_1 \vee \neg p_2 \vee \cdots \vee \neg p_n$$

there are infinitely many prime numbers

- $P = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ has exact 2 factors in } \mathbb{N}\}$

Claim SP: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |P| > n$. Prove by contradiction.

scratch

format of contradiction

- Assume $\neg q$
 - within the assumption, follow a chain of implications
 - \vdots
 - arrive at a contradiction of some already-known factConclude q , since assuming $\neg q$ led to a contradiction.

coursework proposal

- look over the proposed course calendar at
www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F09
and be prepared to vote on Friday October 23rd

scratch