CSC 165

floating-point

week 11, lecture 2

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F09

resources: chapter 7 of course notes

http://docs.python.org/tutorial/floatingpoint.html

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE_754-2008

recall: number representation

If you fix the cost of arithmetic operations, you fix the size of numbers
Each number is given the same space (usually bits)

Result: floating numbers are represented in scientific notation using some base β,
a fixed number of digits, t, a certain range of exponents $e \in [e_{\min}, e_{\max}]$,
and some way to store the sign.

Suppose your base $\beta = 2$, you allow a bit for the sign,
you have room for $t = 3$ digits, and your exponents are from $[-2, 3]$. Normalize
the representation of non-zero numbers so there is one non-zero digit to
the left of the radix point

The smallest positive number you can represent in this system? $1.00 \times 2^{-2} = \frac{1}{4}$
The largest positive number you can represent in this system? $1.11 \times 2^{3} = 14$

a number list

A number-line of the entire list of positive numbers isn't evenly-spaced

However the ratio of the gaps to the magnitude is roughly constant

How many positive numbers are there in total?

rounding

Since we're on a budget for digits and exponents, we can't represent infinitely many numbers.
Suppose our base $\beta = 10$, we have $t = 3$ digits, and exponents $[-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2]$.
How should we represent $e \approx 2.7182818284590451$ or $\pi \approx 3.1415926535897931$?

truncate or round-to-nearest

This leads to three varieties of error (all with a sewage analogy):

- *overflow:* There is no way to represent numbers larger than 9.99×10^2,
  so 999.5 is a problem.
- *underflow:* There is no way to represent positive numbers smaller than 1.00×10^{-3},
  so $\frac{1}{1001}$ is a problem.
- *rounding error:* (throughflow?) There is no way to represent numbers strictly between
  adjacent numbers we can represent, so 1.001 is a problem.

absolute, relative

In our base-ten number system of the previous page, using round-to-nearest, the absolute error representing e is $\left|2.72 - 2.7182818284590451\ldots\right|$

We care about relative error. A millimeter error is a disaster in eye surgery but pretty acceptable in transcontinental air travel.

Compare the error to the quantity being sought.
So, if $x \neq 0$, the relative error is $\frac{|x-x'|}{|x|}$

Compare the relative error when $x = 1.0$ and $x' = 1.1$? How about about when $x = 100.0$ and $x' = 100.1$?

bounding round-to-nearest

If you had infinitely many digits and base β, you could
exactly represent a number $d_0.d_1d_2 \ldots d_{t-1} \ldots \times \beta^e$

But, if you're limited to t digits, you have to round up or down:
$d_0.d_1d_2 \ldots d_{t-1} \times \beta^e$ or $d_0.d_1d_2 \ldots (d_{t-1}+1) \times \beta^e$

What's the maximum difference between x and x'?
(take into account round-to-nearest)

What's the maximum relative error?
Use the fact that $1.0 \ldots 0 \times \beta^e$ is the smallest possible denominator

big relative error!

What relative error does the python shell produce for
1.0000000000000004 - 1.0000000000000003

By tweaking the numbers, you can make the error as bad as you like. . .
billions of percent error

The internal (binary) representation of floats is obscured by
the decimal display. Try `shipwright.binFloat()`