

CSC 165

program examples

week 10, lecture 3

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F09

counting costs

want a coarse comparison of algorithms “speed” that ignores hardware, programmer virtuosity

which speed do we care about: best, worst, average?
why?

define idealized “step” that doesn’t depend on particular hardware
and idealized “time” that counts the number of steps for a given input.

linear search

```
def LS(A,x) :  
    """ Return index i such that x == L[i]. Otherwise, return -1 """  
1.    i = 0  
2.    while i < len(A) :  
3.        if A[i] == x :  
4.            return i  
5.        i = i + 1  
6.    return -1
```

Trace $\text{LS}([2,4,6,8], 4)$, and count the time complexity $t_{\text{LS}}([2,4,6,8], 4)$

What is $t_{\text{LS}}(A, x)$, if the first index where x is found is j ?

What is $t_{\text{LS}}(A, x)$ if x isn't in A at all?

worst case

denote the worst-case complexity for program P with input $x \in I$,
where the input size of x is n as

$$W_P(n) = \max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \wedge \text{size}(x) = n\}$$

The upper bound $W_P \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ means

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B \Rightarrow \max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \wedge \text{size}(x) = n\} \leq cU(n)$$

That is:
$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in I, \text{size}(x) \geq B \Rightarrow t_P(x) \leq cU(\text{size}(x))$$

The lower bound $W_P \in \Omega(L)$ means

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B \Rightarrow \max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \wedge \text{size}(x) = n\} \geq cL(n)$$

That is:
$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B \Rightarrow \exists x \in I, \text{size}(x) = n \wedge t_P(x) \geq cL(n)$$

bounding a sort

```
def IS(A) :  
    """ IS(A) sorts the elements of A in non-decreasing order """  
1.     i = 1  
2.     while i < len(A) :  
3.         t = A[i]  
4.         j = i  
5.         while j > 0 and A[j-1] > t :  
6.             A[j] = A[j-1] # shift up  
7.             j = j-1  
8.         A[j] = t  
9.         i = i+1
```

I want to prove that $W_{IS} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

scratch