

lab/tutorial lists: check posted version — 1 more  
A1 is now posted — chopsticks and subtract  
square

## CSC148 winter 2018

special methods, property, composition, inheritance  
week 2

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

<http://www.teach.cs.toronto.edu/~csc148h/winter/>  
416-978-5899

January 21, 2018



# Outline


special methods

types within types... composition!

generalize classes with inheritance



## rational fractions


$$p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad q \neq 0, \quad \frac{p}{q}$$

Similarly to last week, we want to design and implement a class for rational numbers. We follow a **design recipe for classes**.



## design class Rational

class Rational  
attributes: num, denom  
--init-- , --eq--, --str--, <, \*, +  
...

*Rational numbers are ratios of two integers  $n/d$ , where  $n$  is called the numerator and  $d$  is called the denominator. The denominator  $d$  is non-zero.*

*Operations on rationals include addition, multiplication, and comparisons:*

$>$ ,  $<$ ,  $\geq$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $=$ .

... Create our own Rational class.

# build class Rational

Define a class API:

1. choose a class name and write a brief description in the class docstring.

*Rational*

2. write some examples of client code that uses your class

*r = Rational(3,4)*

3. decide what services your class should provide as public methods, for each method declare an API (examples, header, type contract, description)

4. decide which attributes your class should provide without calling a method, list them in the class docstring

*num denom*



## continue building class Rational

Implement the class:

1. body of special methods `__init__`, `__eq__`, and `__str__`  
— see posted code

2. body of other methods<sup>1</sup>

“ ”

---

<sup>1</sup>use the **CSC108 function design recipe**



## special, aka magic, methods

Python recognizes the names of special methods such as `__init__`, `__eq__`, `__add__`, and `__mul__` and has short-cuts (aliases) for them. This **syntactic sugar** doesn't change the **semantics** (meaning) of these methods, but may allow more manageable code.

For example, suppose you create a list of Rational, and then want to sort it, or check to see whether an equivalent element is in it... `__lt__` and friends...

*you need at least one of `--lt--`, `--gt--`, `--le--`, `--ge--`, to sort*



## managing attributes `num` and `denom`

Suppose that client code written by billions of developers uses `Rational`, but some of them complain that that class doesn't protect them from silly mistakes like supplying non-integers for the numerator or denominator, or even zero for the denominator...

*this semester this is optional*

After you have **already shipped** class `Rational`, you can write methods `_get_num`, `_set_num`, `_get_denom`, and `_set_denom`, and then use **property** to have Python use these functions whenever it sees `num` or `denom`

... or use `assert` — *even build `_invariant()`*



# the Python Way (TM)

- ▶ make public attributes directly accessible (no accessors, aka getters/setters) — *first cut*
- ▶ use `assert` — *enforce assumptions*
- ▶ *optional* use **property** to delegate the management of public attributes behind the scenes



## shapes with extras

Square  
corners  
-tu. tle

move-by()  
get-area()  
draw()

I decide to devise the following class

*Squares have four vertices (corners) have a perimeter, an area, can move themselves by adding an offset point to each corner, and can draw themselves.*



use composition

Square has-a Turtle  
has-a List [Point]

Squares need drawing capabilities, so make sure each Square has a Turtle. Furthermore, the vertices of Squares are Points, and if we include those we'll get the ability to add an offset point and calculate distance... All without writing code to duplicate the capabilities of Turtle or Point.

Here's an **implementation of Square**



## more Square-like classes

What if we decided to devise a RightAngleTriangle class with similar characteristics to Square? There is a problem:

*maintenance disaster!*

There's a lot of duplicate code. What do you suggest?



we could try:

1. cut-paste-modify Square → RightAngleTriangle?  
↳ you will have two inconsistent versions
2. include a Square in the new class to get at its attributes and services?? — a RightAngleTriangle has\_a Square? — weird

we really need a general Shape with the features that are common to both Square and RightAngleTriangle, and perhaps other shapes that may come along



## abstract class Shape

most of the features of Square are identical to RightAngleTriangle. Indeed I (blush) cut-and-pasted a lot...

— *get-area()* must be different

the differences are the class names (Square, RightAngleTriangle) and the code to calculate the area.

put the common features into Shape, with unimplemented *set\_area* as a place-holder...

declare Square and RightAngleTriangle as subclasses of Shape, inheriting the identical features by declaring:

*class Square(Shape): ...*



# inherit, override, or extend?

subclasses use three approaches to recycling the code from their superclass, using the same name

1. methods and attributes that are used as-is from the superclass are **inherited** examples? *draw()*  
*move - by ...*
2. methods and attributes that replace what's in the superclass **overridden** example? *get\_area()*
3. methods and attributes that add to what is in the superclass are **extended** example? *--init--(self)*



## write general code

client code written to use Shape will now work with subclasses of Shape even those written in the future.

*syntactically correct, since Shape declares all methods — even get-area()*

The client code can rely on these subclasses having methods such as `move_by` and `draw` ... *and get-area()*

Here is some **client code** that takes a list objects from subclasses of Shape, moves each object around, and then draws it.

