

TI returned at end of lecture, on
at leisure from BA4288

CSC148 winter 2016

reading recursion

week 6

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

<http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc148h/winter/>
416-978-5899

February 26, 2016



Outline

test #1 follow-up

recursion on nested lists

recursion with turtles

replace test #1 grade

a_1 : class average on test #1

a_2 : class average on test #2

a_e : class average on on final exam

g_2 : student's grade on test #2

g_e : student's grade on final exam

$$\text{test \#1 score: } g_1 = \frac{g_2/a_2 + g_e/a_e}{2} \times a_1$$

rationale: student standing the same compared to the average on test #1 as compared to the average on test #2 and the final



what about those who didn't have a fire alarm?

although we think the formula for those who missed test #1 gives them neither an advantage nor a disadvantage, we will offer the remaining students the maximum of either their current grade on test #1 or the grade calculated using the formula on the previous slide

if a majority of students vote for this change, students who perform better relative to their peers on test #2 and the final may improve their test#1 grade

the vote will be in class, on March 2nd

summing lists

flat list
- depth 1

L1 = [1, 9, 8, 15]

sum(L1) = ~~???~~ 33

L2 = [[1, 5], [9, 8], [1, 2, 3, 4]]

sum([sum(row) for row in L2]) = ??

L3 = [[1, 5], 9, [8, [1, 2], 3, 4]]

depth 2

depth,

How can we sum L3?



re-use built-in... recursion!

- ▶ a function `sum_list` that adds all the numbers in a nested list shouldn't ignore built-in `sum` \leftarrow helper

- ▶ ...except `sum` wouldn't work properly on the nested lists, so make a list-comprehension of their `sum_lists`

$[\text{sum_list}(x) \text{ for } x \text{ in } \dots]$

- ▶ but wait, some of the list elements are numbers, not lists!

treat numbers differently

write a definition of `sum_list` — don't look at next slide yet!



hey! don't peek!

```
def sum_list(L):  
    ''' (list or int) -> int
```

Return L if it's an int, or sum of the numbers in possibly nested l

```
>>> sum_list(17)
```

```
17
```

```
>>> sum_list([1, 2, 3])
```

```
6
```

```
>>> sum_list([1, [2, 3, [4]], 5])
```

```
15
```

```
'''
```

```
# reuse: isinstance, sum, sum_list !
```

```
if isinstance(L, list):
```

```
    return sum([sum_list(x) for x in L])
```

```
else: # L is an int
```

```
    return L
```

tracing recursion

To understand recursion, trace from simple to complex:

▶ trace `sum_list(17)` $\longrightarrow 17$

▶ trace `sum_list([1, 2, 3])`. Remember how the built-in `sum` works... $\text{sum}([\text{sum_list}(1), \text{sum_list}(2), \text{sum_list}(3)])$
 $\longrightarrow \text{sum}([1, 2, 3]) \longrightarrow 6$

▶ trace `sum_list([1, [2, 3], 4, [5, 6]])`. Immediately replace calls you've already traced (or traced something equivalent) by their value

on sheet

▶ trace `sum_list([1, [2, [3, 4], 5], 6, [7, 8]])`. Immediately replace calls you've already traced by their value.



depth of a list

Define the depth of L as 1 plus the maximum depth of L 's elements if L is a list, otherwise 0.

- ▶ the definition is almost exactly the Python code you write!
- ▶ start by writing return and pythonese for the definition:

```
if instance(L, list):  
    return 1 + max([depth(x) for x in L])  
else: # L is not a list  
    return 0
```

find the bug! (then fix it...)

max is not defined on an empty list

- ▶ deal with the special case of a non-list

→ return non-list element

trace to understand recursion

Trace in increasing complexity; at each step fill in values for recursive calls that have (basically) already been traced

- ▶ Trace `depth([])`

→ 1

- ▶ Trace `depth(17)`

→ 0

- ▶ Trace `depth([3, 17, 1])`

→ $\max([0, 0, 0]) + 1 \rightarrow 1$

- ▶ Trace `depth([5, [3, 17, 1], [2, 4], 6])`

→ $\max([\text{depth}(5), \text{depth}([3, 17, 1]), \text{depth}([2, 4]), \text{depth}(6)])$

- ▶ Trace

`depth([14, 7, [5, [3, 17, 1], [2, 4], 6], 9])`

maximum number in nested list

Use the built-in max much like sum

- ▶ how would you find the max of non-nested list?

```
max(...)
```

- ▶ how would you build that list using a comprehension?

```
max([...])
```

- ▶ what should you do with list items that were themselves lists?

```
max([rec_max(x) ...])
```

- ▶ get some intuition by tracing through flat lists, lists nested one deep, then two deep...

code for rec_max

```
if isinstance(L, list):  
    return max([rec_max(x) for x in L])  
else:  
    return L
```



trace the recursion

do these
as on work sheet

trace from simple to complex; fill in already-solved recursive calls

- ▶ trace `rec_max([3, 5, 1, 3, 4, 7])`

→ $\max([\text{rec_max}(3), \text{rec_max}(5), \dots])$

- ▶ trace `rec_max([4, 2, [3, 5, 1, 3, 4, 7], 8])`

→ $\max([\text{rec_max}(4), \text{rec_max}(2), \text{rec_max}([3, 5, 1, 3, 4, 7]), \dots])$

- ▶ trace

`rec_max([6, [4, 2, [3, 5, 1, 3, 4, 7], 8], 5])`

get some turtles to draw

Spawn some turtles, point them in different directions, get them to draw a little and then spawn again...

Try out `tree_burst.py`



Notice that `tree_burst` returns `NoneType`: we use it for its side-effect (drawing on a canvas) rather than returning some value.

nested_contains

Return whether a list, or any of its sublists, contain some non-list value.

- ▶ should return True if any element is equivalent to value
- ▶ should return True if any element is a list ultimately containing value
- ▶ Python any and functional if are useful

`<expression 1> if <condition> else <expression 2>`

If the condition is true, evaluates to the first expression, otherwise evaluates to the second expression.