

AI - due February 25 - on course web page
SLOG - due (paper) at this week's lab + URL for online pool
- Lab #2 is posted
CSC148 winter 2016

documentation, idiom, abstraction
week 3

Friday office hour
3:30 - 5 Cancelled

this week - I
have an administrative

Danny Heap
heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

printing SLOG
lab section lists
stable?

[http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc148h/winter/
416-978-5899](http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc148h/winter/416-978-5899)

notes:

[http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc148h/winter/Notes/
148Notes.pdf](http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~csc148h/winter/Notes/148Notes.pdf)

January 31, 2016

Outline

avoid duplicating documentation

don't maintain documentation in two places, e.g. superclass and subclass, unless there's no other choice:

- ▶ inherited methods, attributes — no need to document again \hookrightarrow eg `Shape.draw()`
- ▶ extended methods — document that they are extended and how \hookrightarrow eg `Square.__init__()`
- ▶ overridden methods, attributes — document that they are overridden and how \hookrightarrow eg `Square.set_area()`

see **Shape** and **Square**

Pycharm type hinting, redux

type hinting is new in the Python world, and to get the benefit of Pycharm's inspector, some fussing may be needed...

*couldn't get Pycharm
to warn in subclass
Squale* OK so far...

@type doesn't play well with text describing an attribute, so I have **switched** to @param...



special methods for Shape

need a string for name of class... type(s). -- name --

Class Shape needs `_str_` and `_eq_`, and so do all its subclasses.

→ want, e.g.,
"Square....."
"RightAngleTriangle..."

Although we could override this in each subclass, a bit of research shows another way.

when are two shapes equivalent?



new lists from old

suppose `L` is a list of the first hundred natural numbers:

```
L = list(range(100))
```

if I want a new list with the squares of all the elements of `L` I *could*

```
new_list = []  
for x in L:  
    new_list.append(x * x)
```

try this out!

or I could use the **equivalent list comprehension**

```
new_list = [x * x for x in L]
```

*iterate over
old list*

expression in new list



filtering with [...]

I can make sure my new list only uses specific elements of the old list...

```
L = ["one", "two", "three", "four", "five", "six"]
```

by adding a condition...

```
new_list = [s * 3  
             for s in L  
             if s <= "one"]
```

what list is produced?

notice that a comprehension can span several lines, if that makes it easier to understand

general comprehension pattern

[expression for name in iterable if condition]

optional

*↓
element
of new list*

*list
tuple
dict
set...*


Python expressions evaluate to values, name refers to each element of iterable (list, tuple, dictionary, ...) in turn, and a condition evaluates to either True or False


see [Code like Pythonista](#)




common ADTs

In CS we recycle our intuition about the outside world as ADTs. We abstract the data and operations, and suppress the implementation

- ▶  *Python list*
sequences of items; can be added, removed, accessed by position

- ▶  *Stack - only have access to top item*
specialized list where we only have access to most recently added item

- ▶  *dictionary*
collection of items accessed by their associated keys



stack example

try the **python visualizer**

↗ call stack holds frames
with function calls

The calls to first and second are stored on a stack that defies gravity by growing downward

stack class design

built-in — no!
python standard library
queue

We'll use this real-world description of a stack for our design:

A *stack* contains *items of various sorts*. New items are *added* on to the top of the stack, items may only be *removed* from the top of the stack. It's a mistake to try to remove an item from an *empty* stack, so we need to know if it is empty. We can tell *how big* a stack is.

Take a few minutes to identify the main noun, verb, and attributes of the main noun, to guide our class design.

Remember to be flexible about alternate names and designs for the same class



implementation possibilities

The public interface of our Stack ADT should be constant, but inside we could implement it in various ways

Use python tuple self-contents ± (obj)

- ▶ Use a python list, which already has a pop method and an append method *which end to push/pop from/to?*
- ▶ Use a python list, but add and remove from position 0

- ▶ Use a python dictionary with integer keys 0, 1, ..., keeping track of the last index used, and which have been removed

may have performance advantage in special circumstances



~~bag~~ ADT sack

Here's a description of a **sack**, which has similar features to a stack:

*A **sack** contains items of various sorts. New items are **added on to a random place** in the sack, so the order items are **removed** from the sack is **completely unpredictable**. It's a mistake to try to remove an item from an empty sack, so we need to know if it is **empty**. We can tell **how big** a sack is.*

Take a few minutes to identify the main noun, verb, and attributes of the main noun, to guide our class design. Remember to be flexible about alternate names and designs for the same class



testing

Use your docstring for testing as you develop, but use **unit testing** to make sure that your particular implementation remains consistent with your ADT's interface. Be sure to:

- ▶ import the module `unittest`
- ▶ subclass `unittest.TestCase` for your tests, and begin each method that carries out a test with the string test
- ▶ compose **tests** before and during implementation



choosing test cases

since you can't test every input, try to think of **representative** cases:

- ▶ smallest argument(s): 0, empty list or string, ...
- ▶ boundary case: moving from 0 to 1, empty to non-empty, ...
- ▶ “typical” case

*if you have more input args,
then numbers increases as
product of these 3*

generalize stack, sack as Container

stacks and sacks can have different implementations: using python lists, dictionaries, ... so it doesn't make sense to have the implementation in a superclass. However, it is nice to have a common API between the two, so we can write client code that works with any stack, sack, or other... Containers

```
# suppose L is list[Container]
```

```
for c in L:  
    for i in range(1000):  
        c.add(i)  
    while not c.is_empty():  
        print(c.remove())
```

*Saving is
client code*

*Should
run*

... so we'll make Stack, Sack subclasses of Container!