

University of Toronto
Faculty of Arts and Science

CSC165H1S Midterm 1, Version 1

Date: February 6, 2019 Duration: 75 minutes Instructor(s): David Liu, François Pitt

No Aids Allowed

Name:

Student Number:

- This examination has 4 questions. There are a total of 8 pages, **DOUBLE-SIDED**.
- All statements in predicate logic must have negations applied directly to propositional variables or predicates.
- In your proofs, you may always use definitions we have covered in this course. However, you may **not** use any external facts about these definitions unless they are given in the question.
- For algorithm analysis questions, you can jump immediately from an exact step count to an asymptotic bound without proof (e.g., write “the number of steps is $3n + \lceil \log n \rceil$, which is $\Theta(n)$ ”).

Take a deep breath.
This is your chance to show us
how much you've learned.
We **WANT** to give you the credit
that you've earned.
A number does not define you.
Good luck!

Question	Grade	Out of
Q1		8
Q2		7
Q3		6
Q4		5
Total		26

Use this page for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly *at the location of the original question*.

1. [8 marks] Short answers questions.

- (a) [2 marks] Let $U = \{a, b, c\}$. Let S_1 be the set of strings over U whose first two letters are the same, and let S_2 be the set of strings over U with length 3. Write down all the elements of $S_1 \cap S_2$.
- (b) [3 marks] Write down the truth table for the following expression in propositional logic. Rough work (e.g., intermediate columns of the truth table) is **not** required, but can be included if you want.

$$(p \vee q) \Rightarrow \neg r$$

- (c) [3 marks] Consider the following statement (assume predicates P and Q have already been defined):

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \exists y \in \mathbb{N}, P(x, y) \vee Q(x, y)$$

Suppose we want to **disprove** this statement. Write the complete *proof header* for a disproof; you may write statements like “Let $x = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ ” without filling in the blank. The last statement of your proof header should be “We will prove that...” where you clearly state what’s left to prove, in the same style as the lectures or the Course Notes.

You do not need to include any other work (but clearly mark any rough work you happen to use).

2. [7 marks] **Translations.** Let P be the set of all people, and suppose we define the following predicates:

- $Student(x)$: “ x is a student”, where $x \in P$
- $Attends(x)$: “ x attends classes”, where $x \in P$
- $Loves(x, y)$: “ x loves y ”, where $x, y \in P$ (note that $Loves(x, y)$ does *not* mean the same thing as $Loves(y, x)$)

Translate each of the following statements into predicate logic. No explanation is necessary. Do not define any of your own predicates or sets. You may use the $=$ and \neq symbols to compare whether two people are the same.

(a) [1 mark] There is at least one student who attends class.

(b) [2 marks] Every person loves at least one student who attends class.

(c) [2 marks] Every student who attends class loves himself/herself.

(d) [2 marks] For every two distinct (i.e., not equal) people, if the two people love each other, then at most one of them attends class.

3. [6 marks] **A proof about numbers.** Consider the following statement: “For every three integers a , b , and c , if a divides b and b divides c , then a divides c .”
- (a) [2 marks] Translate the above statement into predicate logic. Do *not* use the divisibility predicate $|$, but instead use the definition of divisibility.
- (b) [4 marks] Prove or disprove the above statement. If you choose to disprove the statement, you must start by writing its negation. We have left you space for rough work here and on the next page, but write your formal proof in the box below.

Proof.

Use this page for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly *at the location of the original question*.

4. [5 marks] **Floors and Ceilings.** We have the following facts about the floor of a number.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \varepsilon < 1 \wedge x = \lfloor x \rfloor + \varepsilon \quad (\text{Fact 1})$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor n + s \rfloor = n + \lfloor s \rfloor \quad (\text{Fact 2})$$

Use these facts to prove the following statement:

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor x + y \rfloor \geq \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor$$

Clearly state where you use each fact in your proof. We have left you space for rough work here and on the next page, but write your formal proof in the box below. HINT: Substitute appropriate expressions for x and y in $\lfloor x + y \rfloor$.

Proof.

Use this page for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly *at the location of the original question*.