UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Faculty of Arts and Science

DECEMBER 2017 EXAMINATIONS CSC165H1F

Duration: 3 hours Instructor(s): Danny Heap

No Aids Allowed

Name:

Student Number:

Please read the following guidelines carefully!

- This examination has 8 questions. There are a total of 17 pages, DOUBLE-SIDED.
- Answer questions clearly and completely. Provide justification unless explicitly asked not to.
- All formulas must have negations applied directly to propositional variables or predicates.
- In your proofs, you may always use definitions of predicates from the course. You may *not* use any external facts about rates of growth, divisibility, primes, or greatest common divisor unless you prove them, or they are given to you in the question.
- For algorithm analysis questions, you can jump immediately from a step count to an asymptotic bound without proof (e.g., write "the number of steps is $3n + \log n$, which is $\Theta(n)$ ").
- You must earn a grade of at least 40% on this exam to pass this course.

Take a deep breath. This is your chance to show us

How much you've learned.

It's been a real pleasure teaching you this term.

Good luck!

Question	Grade	Out of
Q1		3
Q2		9
Q3		7
Q4		11
Q5		10
Q6		6
Q7		6
Q8		12
Total		64

1. [3 marks] propositions The truth table below has one column missing:

p	q	r	$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow r$
Т	Т	Т	
Т	Т	F	
Т	F	Т	
Т	F	F	
F	Т	Т	
F	Т	F	
F	F	Т	
F	F	F	

(a) [1 mark] Complete the table by placing either a T or a F in each row of the empty column.

Solution				
	p	q	r	$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow r$
	Т	Т	Т	Т
	Т	Т	F	F
	Т	F	Т	F
	Т	F	F	Т
	F	Т	Т	F
	F	Т	F	Т
	F	F	Т	Т
	F	F	F	F
	-			
part marks: -0.5 for 1-2 errors, -1	for n	lore		

(b) [1 mark] Write the negation of $(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow r$ in formal propositional logic.

Solution

$$(p \Leftrightarrow q) \land \neg r \lor \neg (p \Leftrightarrow q) \land r$$

part marks: they could actually get away with negating the whole thing! -0.5 if it produces 1-2 incorrect results, -1 if more

(c) [1 mark] Write an expression equivalent to the negation of $(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow r$ in formal propositional logic, using only the operations \land, \lor , and \neg , that is you may not use \Rightarrow or \Leftrightarrow .

Solution

$$(p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \lor (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \lor (p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \lor (\neg p \wedge q \wedge r)$$

part marks: -0.5 if it produces 1-2 wrong results, -1 if more

2. [9 marks] Primes/Composites Notice that 2,5,11,17,23, and 29 are all primes, and are all congruent to 2 (mod 3), that is each of them leaves a remainder of 2 when divided by 3. You may use the following predicates in this question:

$$egin{aligned} d \mid n : \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, n = dk, & ext{where } d, n \in \mathbb{Z} \ Prime(p) : p > 1 \land orall d \in \mathbb{N}, d \mid p \Rightarrow d = 1 \lor d = p, & ext{where } p \in \mathbb{N} \ Composite(n) : \exists d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 1 \land d < n \land d \mid n, & ext{where } n \in \mathbb{N} \ a \equiv b \pmod{m} : m \mid (a - b), & ext{where } a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}, m
eq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(a) [1 mark] Write the following statement in formal predicate logic: "For any natural number n there is a larger natural number p that is both prime and congruent to 2 (mod 3)."

Solution

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists p \in \mathbb{N}, Prime(p) \land p > n \land p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

A, 1 mark: Correct semantically and formally

(b) [4 marks] Prove the statement from the previous part. You may use, without proof, the fact that any natural number greater than 1 may be expressed as the product of 1 or more prime factors.

Solution

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There are a couple of cases to consider. Case n < 3: Let p = 5. Then $Prime(p) \land p > n \land p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} (\text{since } 3 \mid (5 - 2))$. Case $n \ge 3$: Let m = n! - 1. Since $n \ge 3$ we know $3 \mid n!$, so also $3 \mid (m - 2)$ (result on linear combinations), and $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Also since $n \ge 3$, $m = n! - 1 \ge 5 > 1$, so m is a product of primes $p_1 \times \cdots \times p_k$. Since if $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ we would have $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, there must be some $p_i \equiv 2 \mod 3$. Let p be such a value. Then p > n, since otherwise $p \le n \Rightarrow p \mid n!$, but $p \mid n! \land p \mid (n! - 1) \Rightarrow p \mid 1$ (due to linear combinations), and that's a contradiction. Thus there is a prime $p, p > n \land p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ A, 1 mark: Introduce names and assumptions B, 1 mark: Set up an argument (direct, contradiction, induction, whatever...) C, 2 marks: Successfully derive result

(c) [1 mark] Write the following statement in formal predicate logic "For any natural number n there is a larger natural number c that is odd, composite, and congruent to 2 (mod 3)."

Solution

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists c \in \mathbb{N}, Composite(c) \land c > n \land c \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \land c \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

A, 1 mark: Correct semantics and formallyf

(d) [3 marks] Prove the statement from the previous part.

Solution

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let c = 30(n + 1) + 5. I will show that c is composite, greater than n, odd, and congruent to 2 (mod 3). By construction c = 30(n+1)+5 = 5(6n+7), so 5 | c and 5 > 1 and 5 < c (since 6n+7 > 1). So c is composite. Also $6n \ge n \Rightarrow 6n+7 > n$, so c > n. Factoring $c = 30(n + 1) + 5 = 2(15n + 17) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, so c is odd. Finally c - 2 = 30(n + 1) + 3 = 3(10n + 11), so $3 | c - 2 \text{ and } c \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. A, 1 mark: Introduce names and assumptions B, 1 mark: Set up an argument (direct, contradiction, induction, whatever...) C, 1 mark: Successfully derive result (must show odd, congruence, composite, greater than n)

- 3. [7 marks] different moduli Assume a, b, m, n are integers with gcd(m, n) = 1, $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, and $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.
 - (a) [4 marks] Prove that $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$. Hint: Recall that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ means $m \mid (a b)$. Unwrap the definitions of $m \mid (a b)$, $n \mid (a b)$, and $mn \mid (a b)$. You may use (without proof) the fact that:

$$orall p,q,r\in\mathbb{Z}, (\ extsf{gcd}(p,q)=1 \wedge p \mid qr) \Rightarrow p \mid r$$

Solution

Proof: Let $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that gcd(m, n) = 1, that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, and that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, that is $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, mk_1 = (a - b) \land n_k 2 = (a - b)$. Let k_1, k_2 be such values. So $k_1m = k_2n$, so $m \mid k_2n$. Since gcd(m, n) = 1, it follows that $m \mid k_2$, that is $\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_3m = k_2$. Let k_3 be such a value and

$$(a-b)=k_2n=k_3mn$$

So $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$

A, 1 mark: Introduce names and assumptions

- B, 1 mark: (try) to use definitions of congruence and division to make progress -0.5 for examples but not plausible proof structure (0 under C in this case)
- C, 2 marks: Success in showing the result. Part marks for making progress or making only arithmetic errors.

-0.5 for getting to $m \mid nk$ but not $m \mid k$.

(b) [3 marks] Prove that if i, j are any integers with $0 \le i, j < mn, i \equiv j \pmod{m}$, and $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$, then i = j.

Solution

Proof: Let $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume gcd(m, n) = 1, that $i, j \in [0, mn)$ (half-open interval), that $i \equiv j \pmod{m}$ and $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$. We can assume that $i \geq j$, since the same proof works if we swap them

By the previous part we know that $i \equiv j \pmod{mn}$, so $mn \mid (i-j)$. This means $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, mnk = (i-j)$. Let k be such a value. Since i and j lie in the half-open interval [0, mn), and $i \geq j$, their difference is non-negative and at most (mn-1) - 0 = mn - 1, so

$$mnk = (i-j) \Rightarrow 0 \leq mnk \wedge mnk < mn \Rightarrow k = 0$$

Thus i=j

- A, 1 mark: Introduce names and assumptions. They may say the assumptions on m, n are the same as the last part.
- B, 1 mark: (try) to use equivalence (mod mn), or perhaps some other argument that they structure...

C, 1 mark: Successfully use equivalence (mod mn), or some other argument, to show i = j.

4. [11 marks] step counting Consider the gcd function: Consider the Fibonacci sequence, f_n defined by:

$$f_n = egin{cases} n & ext{if } n < 2 \ f_{n-2} + f_{n-1} & ext{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

(a) [3 marks] Use induction on n to prove that for every natural number n greater than 2, $f_n > f_{n-1} \land f_{n-1} > 0$.

<u>Solution</u>

Proof (induction): Define $P(n): n > 2 \Rightarrow f_n > f_{n-1} \land f_{n-1} > 0$. I prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$. base case: From the definition $f_3 = 2 > 1 = f_2$, and $f_2 = 2 > 0$, so P(3) is true.

inductive step: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume P(n). I want to show that P(n+1) follows.

Assume that $n \ge 3$, since otherwise (given the base case) there is nothing to prove. That means that

$$egin{array}{ll} f_{n+1} = f_{n-1} + f_n > f_n & ext{ (by IH, } f_{n-1} > 0) \ & \wedge 0 < f_{n-1} < f_n & ext{ (by IH, } f_n > f_{n-1}) \end{array}$$

So P(n+1) follows

A, 1 mark: introduce names and assumptions, base case

- B, 1 mark: inductive step, including IH
- C, 1 mark: derive result

(b) [4 marks] Use induction on n to prove that for every natural number n, $gcd(f_n, f_{n+1}) = 1$.

Solution

Proof: Define P(n): $gcd(f_n, f_{n+1}) = 1$. I will prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$. base case: $gcd(f_0, f_1) = gcd(0, 1) = 1$, so P(0) is true.

inductive step: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n). I want to show that P(n+1) follows.

Since $f_{n+2} = f_n + f_{n+1}$, any integer that divides both f_{n+2} and f_{n+1} also divides their difference, $f_{n+2} - f_{n+1} = f_n$ (divisibility of linear combinations). The largest integer that divides both f_n and f_{n+1} is their greatest common divisor 1, so $gcd(f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}) = 1$

A, 1 mark: introduce names and assumptions

B, 1 mark: base case

- C, 1 mark: inductive step, including IH
- D, 1 mark: derive result

(c) [4 marks] Read over the function gcd below:

1	<pre>def gcd(n, m):</pre>
2	while $m * n != 0$:
3	n, m = m, n $\%$ m
4	if m == 0:
5	return n
6	else:
7	return m

Assume the body of the loop in gcd is one step. Use induction on n to prove that for any natural number n greater than 2, $gcd(f_n, f_{n-1})$ takes at least n-2 steps, where f_n is the nth number in the Fibonacci sequence defined above. If m and n are natural numbers with $m \neq 0$, you may assume that there is some integer q such that n = qm + (n%m), that m > (n%m) and (n%m) > 0.

Solution

Proof (induction): Define

 $P(n): n > 2 \Rightarrow \gcd(f_n, f_{n-1})$ takes at least n-2 steps

base case: $gcd(2, 1) = gcd(f_3, f_2)$. After the first iteration of the loop, n is set to 1 and m is set to 2%1 = 0, so there is 1 = 3 - 2 iteration of the loop, so P(3) is true.

inductive step: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume P(n). I will show that P(n+1) follows from this, that is $gcd(f_{n+1}, f_n)$ takes at least n + 1 - 2 = n - 1 steps.

After the first iteration of the loop n is set to f_n and m is set to $f_{n+1}\% f_n$. Notice that f_{n-1} satisfies the conclusion of the Quotient-Remainder Theorem:

$$f_{n+1}=1 imes f_n+f_{n-1}\wedge f_n>f_{n-1}\wedge f_{n-1}\geq 0$$

So at the end of the first loop $(n, m) = (f_n, f_{n-1})$. By the inductive hypothesis, there will be at least n-2 to complete the work of $gcd(f_n, f_{n-1})$, so there will be n-1 steps in all

- A, 1 mark: introduce names and assumptions
- B, 1 mark: base case
- C, 1 mark: set up inductive step and IH
- D, 1 mark: successfully derive result. -1 if they don't tie induction to loop iterations somehow.
 -1 if they don't tie values to fibonacci numbers

- 5. [10 marks] runtime Function f1 takes positive integer n as input, and its runtime depends only on n:
 - (a) [4 marks]
 def f1(n):

```
i = 0
while i**2 < n:
    j = 0
while j < n:
    j = j + 3
    i = i + 2</pre>
```

We will consider the runtime of f1 to be the total cost of executing Line 6 over all loop iterations, and ignoring all other operations. Determine the exact number of times Line 6 is executed in terms of the input size, n.

Solution

sample: The inner loop sets j to 3s for every step s until $j \ge n$, so it executes $\lceil n/3 \rceil$ for each i. The outer loop sets i to 2s' for every step s', until $i^2 \ge n$. Thus it exits when $4s'^2 \ge n$, or $s' = \lceil \sqrt{n}/2 \rceil$.

Combining these gives:

$\lceil n \rceil$	$\left\lceil \sqrt{n} \right\rceil$
3	2

 \dots steps for input size n.

A, 1 mark: expression appears to be, at least, a product

B, 2 marks: expression takes into account steps of 2 and 3, somehow

C, 1 mark: expression takes into account outer square root

(b) [1 mark] Use your answer from (a) to determine a simple Theta expression for the runtime of f1. No justification required.

Solution

sample: Floors and ceilings, as well as multiplicative coefficients, do not change Θ , so we have

$$RT\in \Theta(n\sqrt{n})=\Theta(n^{3/2})$$

part marks: base this on their expression for part a. -0.5 if they don't remove floor/ceiling. -0.5 if they don't remove multiplicative coefficients. -0.5 (but never go below zero...) if they end up in a different complexity class.

(c) [4 marks] Helper function h(k) takes k steps for input of size k. For example, h(10) takes 10 steps.
 Consider the runtime of f2 to be the total cost of executing Line 4 over all loop iterations.

1 def f2(n):

```
2 i = 0
3 while i**2 < n:
4 h(i)
5 i = i + 1
```

Recall the formula, valid for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^j i=rac{j(j+1)}{2}$$
 .

Determine the exact cost of executing line 4 of function f2 above.

Solution

sample: Helper function h executes h(i) steps as i ranges from 0 to $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - 1$, contributing

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \sqrt{n}
ceil -1} j = rac{(\lceil \sqrt{n}
ceil -1)(\lceil \sqrt{n}
ceil)}{2} ext{ steps}$$

A, 2 marks: expression takes into account $i^2 < n$

- **B**, 1 mark: expression takes into account h(i)
- C, 1 mark: expression is an integer
- (d) [1 mark] Use (c) to determine a simple Theta expression for the runtime of f2. No justification required.

Solution

sample: Floors, ceilings, slower-growing terms, and multiplicative constants do not change Θ , so we have

 $RT \in \Theta(n)$

part marks: base this on previous part. -0.5 if they don't remove floor/ceiling. -0.5 if they don't remove constant. -0.5 (but never below zero...) if they end up in a different complexity class.

6. [6 marks] average case analysis

Assume that p is a program, that $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$ is the set of inputs of size n for p, that for all n, $|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}| \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (in other words, for each n there are finitely many inputs for p), and that RT(x) is the number of steps p(x) requires to run.

Let $f:\mathbb{N}
ightarrow\mathbb{R}^{\geq0}$ and assume:

$$egin{aligned} \exists c_1,n_1\in \mathbb{R}^+, orall n\in \mathbb{N}, orall x\in {\mathcal I}_{p,n}, \ n\geq n_1 \Rightarrow RT(x)\leq c_1f(n)\ \exists c_2,n_2\in \mathbb{R}^+, orall n\in \mathbb{N}, orall x\in {\mathcal I}_{p,n}, \ n\geq n_2 \Rightarrow RT(x)\geq c_2f(n) \end{aligned}$$

Define the average runtime for inputs of size n as:

$$AVG(n) = rac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}_{p,n}} RT(x)}{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}|}$$

Prove that $AVG \in \Theta(f)$, in other words prove:

$$\exists c_3, c_4, n_3 \in \mathbb{R}^+, orall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_3 \Rightarrow c_3 f(n) \leq AVG(n) \wedge AVG(n) \leq c_4 f(n)$$

Solution

Proof: Let $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$ and RT be as defined above, and assumptions about f, c_1, n_1, c_2, n_2 be as above. Let $n' = \max(n_1, n_2)$, let $c_3 = c_1$ and let $c_4 = c_2$.

Then $orall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n'$ implies:

$$egin{aligned} AVG(n) &= rac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}_{p,n}} RT(x)}{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}|} &\leq & rac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}_{p,n}} c_1 f(n)}{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}|} = rac{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}| c_1 f(n)}{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}|} = c_1 f(n) = c_3 f(n) \ & ext{ (by assumption)} \ AVG(n) &= & rac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}_{p,n}} RT(x)}{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}|} &\geq & rac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}_{p,n}} c_2 f(n)}{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}|} = rac{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}| c_2 f(n)}{|\mathcal{I}_{p,n}|} = c_2 f(n) = c_3 f(n) \ & ext{ (by assumption)} \ & ext{ (by assumption)} \ & ext{ (by assumption)} \ & ext{ AVG} \in \Theta(f) \ lackstrianglelee \ & ext{ (by assumption)} \ & ext{ (c) } \ & ext{ (c) }$$

A, 2 mark: Introduce names and assumptions. They are allowed to "borrow" these introductions from the question statement explicitly. There are a lot of names, due to the nature of the question

B, 2 marks: Set up an argument (direct, contradiction, induction, whatever...).

C, 2 marks: Successfully arrive at the conclusion.

7. [6 marks] connectedness

Recall the definition of the degree, d(u) of vertex u in a graph G = (V, E):

(

$$d(u) = |\{(u,v) \mid (u,v) \in E\}|^2$$

Recall also that there is a path between vertices u and v if there is a sequence of distinct vertices v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k , where $v_0 = u$ and $v_k = v$, and for every $i \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ there is an edge $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$. Finally, recall that G is connected means that for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$, there is a path from u to v.

Prove that for every graph G = (V, E), if $\forall v \in V, d(v) \ge \lfloor |V|/2 \rfloor$, then G is connected.

Solution

Proof: Let G = (V, E) be an arbitrary graph and assume $\forall v \in V, d(v) \ge \lfloor |V|/2 \rfloor$. Let $u, v \in V$ be an arbitrary pair of vertices in G. I will show that there is a path from u to v.

Let $N(u) = \{v \mid v \in V \land (u, v) \in E\} \cup \{u\}$ and $N(v) = \{w \mid w \in V \land (v, w) \in E\} \cup \{v\}$. By assumption $|N(u)| \ge \lfloor |V|/2 \rfloor + 1$ and $|N(V)| \ge \lfloor |V|/2 \rfloor + 1$. I will show that $N(u) \cap N(v) \neq \emptyset$, so there is at least one vertex in common, forming a path from u to v. There are two cases to consider, depending on whether |V| is even or odd:

Case |V| is even: Counting vertices, we have:

$$|N(v)|+|N(u)|\geq \lfloor |V|/2
floor+ \lfloor |V|/2
floor+2=|V|+2$$

So N(u) and N(v) must have at least 2 vertices in common, since $N(u) \cup N(v) \subseteq V$. **Case** |V| is odd: Let $k_1 \in \mathbb{N} = (|V| - 1)/2$, so |V| = 2k + 1. Then, counting vertices, we have:

 $|N(v)|+|N(u)|\geq \lfloor |V|/2
floor+ \lfloor |V|/2
floor+2=2k+2=|V|+1$

So N(u) and N(v) must have at least 1 vertex in common, since $N(u) \cup N(v) \subseteq V$.

- A, 1 mark: Introduce names and assumptions. They will probably need to introduce V and E, and perhaps some arbitrary vertices. Do not deduct if they treat a name as being introduced by an assumption, e.g. $\exists k, m = 3k$, and then go on to use k as though it were introduced.
- **B**, 2 marks: Set up an argument. This may not be the same as our approach, but give them up to 2 for setting up proof by induction, contradiction, properly, even if they cannot successfully show connectivity. Give part credit for knowing what they need to show.
- C, 3 marks: Show connectivity. Give no more than 1.5/3 if they don't convince you the graph is connected.

8. [12 marks] cycles

Recall the definitions of degree, path, and connected from the previous question, and recall that a sequence of vertices v_0, \ldots, v_k in graph G = (V, E) is a cycle if:

- the sequence is a path from v_0 to v_k containing at least 3 distinct vertices, and
- there is an edge $(v_k, v_0) \in E$.
- (a) [3 marks] Prove that for every graph G = (V, E), if $\exists v \in V, d(v) \geq 3$, then $|V| \geq 4$.

Solution
Proof: Let G = (V, E). Assume ∃v ∈ V, d(v) ≥ 3. Let v be such a vertex. I will show that |V| ≥ 4. Let N(v) = {u | (u, v) ∈ E} ∪ {v}. Since d(v) ≥ 3 |N(u)| ≥ 4 and N(u) ⊆ V
A, 1 mark: Introduce names and assumptions
B, 1 mark: Set up an argument (direct, induction, contradiction, whatever...)
C, 1 mark: Successfully derive result.

(b) [4 marks] Prove that for every graph G = (V, E), if $\forall v \in V, d(v) \ge 3$, then for every $v \in V$ there is a path consisting of at least 4 distinct vertices starting at v.

Solution

Proof: Let G = (V, E) and assume that $\forall v \in V, d(v) \geq 3$. Let $v \in V$. I will show that there is a path beginning at v with at least 4 vertices. Let P(v) be a maximal-length path beginning at $v, P(v) = v, v_1, \ldots, u$, let u be the final vertex in P(v). Since, by assumption, $d(u) \geq 3$ it must have at least 3 edges leading to other vertices in P(v), since otherwise it would have an edge creating a longer path. This means that P(v) has at least 4 vertices, including u

- A, 1 mark: Introduce names
- B, 1 mark: Set up a proof structure
- C, 2 marks: Derive conclusion

(c) [5 marks] Prove that for every graph G = (V, E), if $\forall v \in V, d(v) \ge 3$, then G contains at least one cycle containing at least 4 distinct vertices.

Solution

Proof: Let G = (V, E) and assume that $\forall v \in V, d(v) \geq 3$. Let $v \in V$. I will show that there is a cycle with at least distinct vertices starting at v.

From the previous part we know that there is a path P(v) with at least 4 vertices. Let u be the farthest vertex in P(v) from v. Since, by assumption, $d(u) \ge 3$ and it has no edge to a vertex outside P(v), u must have at least 3 neighbours in P(v). Let u' be v's predecessor in P(v) and u'' be u''s predecessor. Let u''' be a neighbour of u that is different fromf u' and u''. Then $u, u''', \ldots, u'', u', u$ forms a cycle of length at least 4

- A, 1 mark: Introduce names
- B, 1 mark: Set up a proof structure
- C, 3 marks: Derive conclusion

Use this page for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly at the location of the original question.

Use this page for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly at the location of the original question.

Use this page for rough work. If you want work on this page to be marked, please indicate this clearly at the location of the original question.