

CSC165 fall 2019

Mathematical expression:
more proof, modularity, prime characterization

Danny Heap

csc165-2019-09f@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

Web page:

<http://www.teach.cs.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F19/>

416-978-5899

Using **Course notes: Proof**

Gerstein Crisis Centre 416-929-5200

Distress Centres of Greater Toronto 416-408-HELP (4357)

The Centre for Addiction and Mental Health at 250 College Street

Anishnawbe Health Toronto Mental Health Crisis Line
416-360-0486

My SSP for U of T Students 1-844-451-9700. Immediate counselling support is available in 35 languages and ongoing support in 146 languages.

linear combinations

$$\forall a, b, c, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, (a \mid b \wedge a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bp + cq))$$



$m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $m \mid n \Rightarrow m \leq n$

proof pieces

A proof is a sequence of statements that flows left-to-right, top-to-bottom, each new statement justified by one or more of:

- ▶ given assumptions unpacked
- ▶ preceding statements
- ▶ external facts cited (if allowed)

The concluding statement should be what the proof claims.

useful pieces

We prove a powerful alternate definition of a number being prime using some external facts that are proven either in this week's worksheets or (last fact) not proven (yet)¹.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, x \mid x \quad (\text{Claim 1})$$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{N}, y \geq 1 \wedge x \mid y \Rightarrow 1 \leq x \wedge x \leq y \quad (\text{Claim 2})$$

$$\forall n, p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(p) \wedge p \nmid n \Rightarrow \text{gcd}(p, n) = 1 \quad (\text{Claim 3})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{gcd}(n, m) \geq 1 \quad (\text{Claim 4})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{gcd}(n, m) \mid (rn + sm) \quad (\text{Claim 5})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, rn + sm = \text{gcd}(n, m) \quad (\text{Claim 6})$$

¹See a step-by-step [exercise proving this](#) (question 2). 

warmup

You showed in tutorial that if m and n are odd, so is mn .

What is the translation of this into predicate logic? What is the corresponding claim for m and n not being divisible by 3?

What about by 4? Which claims are true?

prove converse...

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n > 1 \wedge (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \Rightarrow n \nmid ab)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Prime}(n)$$

Notes