

ps1: FAQ @ piazza.
ps0: feedback on typing

l1: next Tues,
same time,
place: web page.

CSC165 fall 2019

Mathematical expression:
more proof, modularity, prime characterization

Danny Heap

csc165-2019-09f@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

Web page:

<http://www.teach.cs.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F19/>

416-978-5899

Using **Course notes: Proof**

proof pieces

body

A proof is a sequence of statements that flows left-to-right, top-to-bottom, each new statement justified by one or more of:

- ▶ given assumptions unpacked ✓ (probably in header)
- ▶ preceding statements — use ref. if necessary
- ▶ external facts cited (if allowed) — give a reference

The concluding statement should be what the proof claims.



useful pieces

We prove a powerful alternate definition of a number being prime using some external facts that are proven either in this week's worksheets or (last fact) not proven (yet)¹.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, x \mid x \quad (\text{Claim 1})$$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{N}, y \geq 1 \wedge x \mid y \Rightarrow 1 \leq x \wedge x \leq y \quad (\text{Claim 2})$$

$$\forall n, p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(p) \wedge p \nmid n \Rightarrow \gcd(p, n) = 1 \quad (\text{Claim 3})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \gcd(n, m) \geq 1 \quad (\text{Claim 4})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(n, m) \mid (rn + sm) \quad (\text{Claim 5})$$

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, rn + sm = \gcd(n, m) \quad (\text{Claim 6})$$

↑
Bézout's lemma

¹See a step-by-step **exercise proving this** (question 2).

warmup

$$2k+1 \quad 2k+1$$

You showed in tutorial that if m and n are odd, so is mn .

What is the translation of this into predicate logic? What is the corresponding claim for m and n not being divisible by 3?

What about by 4? Which claims are true?

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid m \wedge 2 \nmid n \Rightarrow 2 \nmid mn$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \nmid m \wedge 3 \nmid n \Rightarrow 3 \nmid mn$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 5 \nmid m \wedge 5 \nmid n \Rightarrow 5 \nmid mn$$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 4 \nmid m \wedge 4 \nmid n \Rightarrow 4 \nmid mn$$

False!

Prime numbers are atomic - cannot be split over a product!

spoiler: primes are special

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n > 1 \wedge (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \Rightarrow n \nmid ab)) \Leftarrow \text{Prime}(n)$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\text{Prime}(n)$.

Part 1 Prove $n > 1$.
Follows from definition $\text{Prime}(n)$

Part 2 Prove $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \Rightarrow n \nmid ab$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b$.

$$\exists s_1, t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, s_1 n + t_1 a = 1 \quad \# \text{ worksheet 7, 2(a)}$$

$$\exists s_2, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, s_2 n + t_2 b = 1$$

$$s_1 s_2 n^2 + s_1 t_2 b + s_2 t_1 a + t_1 t_2 ab = 1$$

$$(s_1 s_2 n + s_1 t_2 b + s_2 t_1 a)n + t_1 t_2 ab = 1$$

$n \nmid ab$ # worksheet 7 2(b)

Part 1 \wedge Part 2 ■

prove converse...

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (n > 1 \wedge (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \Rightarrow n \nmid ab)) \Rightarrow \text{Prime}(n)$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg \text{Prime}(n) \Rightarrow n \leq 1 \vee \exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \wedge n \mid ab$
(contrapositive).

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\neg \text{Prime}(n)$, that is
 $n \leq 1 \vee \exists d \in \mathbb{N}, d \mid n \wedge d \neq 1 \wedge d \neq n$. WTS
that $n \leq 1 \vee \exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}, n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \wedge n \mid ab$.

Case $n \leq 1$: Then first part of \vee satisfied.

Case $n > 1$: $\exists d \in \mathbb{N}, d \mid n \wedge d \neq 1 \wedge d \neq n$. So

$\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, n = kd$. Let $a = d \wedge b = k$. WTS

$n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \wedge n \mid ab$.

$n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \wedge n \mid ab$

worksheet 7, 1.

