

Monday: 100% increase in office hours,

i.e. 1-3  
in BA2230...

CSC165 fall 2019

Mathematical expression:  
more proof, modularity, prime characterization

Danny Heap

[csc165-2019-09f@cs.toronto.edu](mailto:csc165-2019-09f@cs.toronto.edu)

BA4270 (behind elevators)

Web page:

<http://www.teach.cs.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F19/>

416-978-5899

Using **Course notes: Proof**

# linear combinations

$$\forall a, b, c, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, (a \mid b \wedge a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bp + cq))$$

discuss If  $b$  and  $c$  are multiples of  $a$ , then re-write  $bp + cq$  as sum of multiples of  $a$ , and answer "falls out"

Proof Let  $a, b, c, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume  $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, b = ak_1, c = ak_2$ . WTS  $\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, ak_3 = bp + cq$ .  
Set  $k_3 = \frac{k_1 p + k_2 q}{1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} bp + cq &= ak_1 p + ak_2 q \quad \# \text{ assumed } \dots \\ &= a(k_1 p + k_2 q) \\ &= ak_3 \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

prove  $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow mn \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \mid (m-1) \wedge 3 \mid (n-1) \Rightarrow 3 \mid (mn-1)$$

discuss if  $m = 3k_1 + 1$  (some  $k_1$ ) and  $n = 3k_2 + 1$  then  $mn = 9k_1k_2 + 3k_1 + 3k_2 + 1$   
so  $mn - 1$  is divisible by 3.

Proof Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume  $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
 $m = 3k_1 + 1, n = 3k_2 + 1$ . Let  $k_3 = 3k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2$

$$\text{WTS } k_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge mn = 3k_3 + 1$$

$$mn = (3k_1 + 1)(3k_2 + 1) = 9k_1k_2 + 3k_1 + 3k_2 + 1$$

$$= 3k_3 + 1 \quad \blacksquare$$

also  $k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , sum + mults of ints...

converse of previous example?

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \mid (mn-1) \Rightarrow 3 \mid (m-1) \wedge 3 \mid (n-1)$$

False  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \mid (mn-1) \wedge [3 \nmid (m-1) \vee 3 \nmid (n-1)]$

e.g.  $m = n = 5$

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $m \mid n \Rightarrow m \leq n$

$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+, m \mid n \Rightarrow m \leq n$

discuss since  $m, n > 0$ , then  $\frac{n}{m} > 0$   
and must be integer

i.e.  $n = km$  (assumption)

so  $n/m \geq 1 \Rightarrow m \leq n$

Proof Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Assume  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$n = km$ . WTS  $m \leq n$ .

$k = n/m \neq m > 0$

$k > 0 \neq m, n > 0$

$k \geq 1 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$n = mk \geq m$  ■