

CSC236 tutorial exercises, Week #2

(Best before 11 am, Monday October 1st)

Danny Heap

Here are your tutorial sections:

Surname	Section	Room	TA
A–F	Day 1 (11:00 am)	LM162	Lila
G–Li	Day 2 (11:00 am)	BA2139	Yuval
Lo–Si	Day 3 (11:00 am)	BA2145	Oles
So–Z	Day 4 (11:00 am)	BA2155	Lalla
A–H	Evening 1 (8:00 pm)	BA1190	Colin
I–M	Evening 2 (8:00 pm)	BA2135	Norman
N–Z	Evening 3 (8:00 pm)	BA2139	Feyyaz

These exercises are intended to give you practice with complete induction, proving inequalities, and dealing with cases where the base cases aren't obvious.

1. Recall the definition of a full binary tree from the [annotated lecture slides](#) or the [course notes, example 1.13, page 42](#). Use Complete Induction to prove that every non-empty full binary tree has exactly one more leaf than interior nodes.
2. Use Complete Induction, and emulate the [course notes, example 1.12, page 40](#) to show that postage of exactly n cents can be made using only 3-cent and 5-cent stamps, for every natural number n greater than k (you will have to discover the value of k).
3. Use Mathematical Induction to prove that for all natural numbers n , $n^4 \leq 4^n + 17$.

Sample solutions

1. $P(n)$: Every full binary tree with n nodes has exactly one more leaf than interior nodes. I will prove that $P(n)$ is true for every positive number n .

Proof (by Complete Induction)

Induction step: Assume that n is a positive natural number, and that for every natural number $0 < i < n$, $P(i)$ is true.

Case 1: $n = 1$: A full binary tree with 1 node has one leaf (the root) and zero interior nodes, so $P(1)$ holds. (Notice that this is the base case, since it is verified independently of the induction hypothesis).

Case 2: $n > 1$: Since a full binary tree with more than 1 nodes must have nodes other than the root, the root has 2 children (definition of full binary tree). The two children, in turn, are roots of non-empty full binary trees, since removing a parent doesn't change the properties that make them trees, binary, or full. Call these subtrees T_L and T_R , with n_L and n_R nodes, respectively. Since T_L and T_R are non-empty trees, n_L and n_R are greater than 0. Since T_L and T_R contain strict subsets of the nodes of the original tree (they lack the root), n_L and n_R are less than n . So $0 < n_L, n_R < n$, and so by the induction hypothesis T_L has i_L interior nodes and $i_L + 1$ leaves, and T_R has i_R interior nodes and $i_R + 1$ leaves. The interior nodes of T_R and T_L , plus the root, are the interior nodes of the original tree, so this tree has $i_L + i_R + 1$ interior nodes versus $i_L + 1 + i_R + 1$ leaves. In other words, exactly one more leaf than interior nodes, and $P(n)$ holds.

Since n was assumed to be a generic positive natural number, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, if $P(i)$ is true for every $0 < i < n$, then $P(n)$.

I conclude that for all positive natural numbers n , $P(n)$.

2. $P(n)$: Postage of exactly n cents can be formed using only 3-cent and 5-cent stamps. I will prove that $P(n)$ is true for every natural number n greater than 7.

Proof (by Complete Induction)

Induction step: Assume that n is a generic natural number greater than 7 and that if $7 < i < n$, then $P(i)$ is true.

Case 1, $n \in \{8, 9, 10\}$: Then postage of n cents can be formed with a 3-cent and a 5-cent stamp, or three 3-cent stamps, or with 2 5-cent stamps, so $P(n)$ follows.

Case 2, $n > 10$: Then $7 < n - 3 < n$, and the induction hypothesis says that postage of exactly $n - 3$ cents can be formed using only 3-cent and 5-cent stamps. By adding a 3-cent stamp to this postage we have postage of n cents using only 3-cent and 5-cent stamps, that is $P(n)$.

In both possible cases, $P(n)$ follows.

Since n is assumed to be a generic natural number greater than 7, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$, if $P(i)$ is true for every $7 < i < n$, then $P(n)$ is also true.

I conclude that for every natural number n greater than 7, $P(n)$, by Complete Induction.

3. $P(n)$: $4^n + 17 \geq n^4$. I will prove that $P(n)$ is true for every natural number.

Proof (by Mathematical Induction):

Base cases: $n < 4$: It is straightforward to verify $P(n)$ for $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Notice that the related inequality, $4n^4 - 51 \geq (n + 1)^4$ (see below) is **false** for $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, so none of these four values can be reached using the logic of the induction step — we require all four base cases.

Induction step: Assume $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1, 2\}$ and that $P(n)$ is true.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 4^{n+1} + 17 = 4 \times 4^n + 17 &\geq 4n^4 - 51 \quad \# \quad \text{by IH } 4 \times (4^n + 17) \geq 4n^4 \\
 &= n^4 + n^4 + n^4 + n^4 - 51 \\
 &\geq n^4 + 3n^3 + 3n^3 + 3n^3 - 51 \quad \# \quad n \geq 3 \Rightarrow n^4 \geq 3n^3 \\
 &= n^4 + 4n^3 + 2n^3 + n^3 + 2n^3 - 51 \quad \# \quad \text{re-write} \\
 &\geq n^4 + 4n^3 + 6n^2 + 4n + 2n^3 - 51 \\
 &\quad \# \quad n \geq 3 \Rightarrow 2n^3 \geq 6n^2 \wedge n^3 = n^2n \geq 4n \\
 &\geq n^4 + 4n^3 + 6n^2 + 4n + 1 \quad \# \quad n \geq 3 \Rightarrow 2n^3 - 51 \geq 1 \\
 &= (n + 1)^4
 \end{aligned}$$

So $P(n + 1)$ follows

Since I assumed n is a generic natural number greater than 2, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1, 2\}$, $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$.

I conclude $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$, by Mathematical Induction.