

CSC236 fall 2012

recursion, induction, correctness

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

<http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/236/F12/>

416-978-5899

Using **Introduction to the Theory of Computation,**
Chapter 2

Outline

binary search

recursive binary search

```
def recBinSearch(x, A, b, e) :  
1.   if b == e :  
2.       if x <= A[b] :  
3.           return b  
4.       else :  
5.           return e + 1  
6.   else :  
7.       m = (b + e) // 2 # midpoint  
8.       if x <= A[m] :  
9.           return recBinSearch(x, A, b, m)  
10.      else :  
11.         return recBinSearch(x, A, m+1, e)
```

no loops.

precondition \Rightarrow termination and postcondition

Proof: induction on $n = e - b + 1$

Base case, $n = 1$: Terminates because there are no loops or further calls, returns $x \leq A[b = p] \Leftrightarrow p \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} b = e$ is returned. $x > A[b = p - 1] \Leftrightarrow p = b + 1$ returned, so postcondition satisfied. Notice that the choice forces if-and-only-if. ✓

Induction step: Assume $n > 1$ and that the postcondition is satisfied for inputs of size $1 \leq k < n$ that satisfy the precondition. Call `RecBinSearch(A,x,b,e)` when $n = e - b + 1 > 1$. Since $b < e$ in this case, the test on line 1 fails, and line 7 executes. Exercise: $b \leq m < e$ in this case. $m = \lfloor \frac{e+b}{2} \rfloor$
There are two cases, according to whether $x \leq A[m]$ or $x > A[m]$.

Case 1: $x \leq A[m]$ ←

$$\underbrace{0 < m - b + 1}_{\text{by ex}} < \underbrace{e - b + 1}_{\text{by ex}} \text{ so}$$

► Show that IH applies to $\text{RBS}(x, A, b, m)$ ←

► Translate the postcondition to $\text{RBS}(x, A, b, m)$, IH tells us.

- $b \leq p \leq m + 1$
 - $b < p \Rightarrow A[p-1] < x$
 - $p \leq m \Rightarrow x \leq A[p]$
- } by Induct. Hypoth.

► Show that $\text{RBS}(x, A, b, e)$ satisfies postcondition

- By IH: $b \leq p \leq m + 1 \leq e < e + 1$ ✓
- $b < p \Rightarrow A[p-1] < x$ # by IH
 - $p \leq e \Rightarrow x \leq A[p]$ must show $x \leq A[p]$
 - if $p = m + 1$, then $x \leq A[m]$ by IH
 - if $p \leq m$, then $x \leq A[p] = A[m+1] = A[p]$ by IH

Case 2: $x > A[m]$

Induction.

- ▶ Show that IH applies to $\text{RBS}(x, A, m+1, e)$
- ▶ Translate postcondition to $\text{RBS}(x, A, m+1, e)$, IH means.

- $b < m+1 \leq p \leq e+1$ ✓
- $p \leq e \Rightarrow x \leq A[p]$
- $m+1 < p \Rightarrow A[p-1] < x$

- ▶ Show that $\text{RBS}(x, A, b, e)$

- $b \leq p \leq e+1 \xrightarrow{\text{by IH and } m \geq b}$

- $p \leq e \Rightarrow x \leq A[p]$ # by IH.

- Show $b < p \Rightarrow A[p-1] < x$

- $p > m+1$, then $A[p-1] < x$ by IH
- $p \leq m+1 \Rightarrow p = m+1$, then $x > A[m] = A[p-1]$

by

what could go wrong?

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright m = \lceil \frac{e+b}{2.0} \rceil \end{array} \right.$$

prove or
counterexample,

$$\blacktriangleright x < A[m] \quad \text{—} \quad \text{prove or counter ex ample}$$

$\blacktriangleright \dots$

\blacktriangleright Either prove correct, or find a counter-example