

# CSC236 fall 2012

subtle induction

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

<http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/236/F12/>

416-978-5899

Using [Introduction to the Theory of Computation](#),  
Section 1.2–1.3





## Well-ordering example

$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0, R = \{r \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists q \in \mathbb{N}, m = qn + r\}$  has a smallest element

This is the main part of proving the existence of a unique quotient and remainder:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, \exists q, r \in \mathbb{N}, m = qn + r \wedge 0 \leq r < n$$

The course notes use Mathematical Induction. Well-ordering is shorter and clearer.

# Principle of well-ordering

Every non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a smallest element

Is there something similar for  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

For a given pair of natural numbers  $m, n \neq 0$  does the set  $R$  satisfy the conditions for well-ordering?

$$R = \{r \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists q \in \mathbb{N}, m = qn + r\}$$

If so, we still need to be sure that

1.  $0 \leq r < n$
2. That  $q$  and  $r$  are unique — no other natural numbers would work

...in order to have

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, \exists q, r \in \mathbb{N}, m = qn + r \wedge 0 \leq r < n$$

# Every non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ has a smallest element

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, \exists q, r \in \mathbb{N}, m = qn + r \wedge 0 \leq r < n$$



# Every non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ has a smallest element

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, \exists q, r \in \mathbb{N}, m = qn + r \wedge 0 \leq r < n$$



# Every non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ has a smallest element

$P(n)$  : Every round-robin tournament with  $n$  players that has a cycle has a 3-cycle

Claim:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1, 2\}, P(n)$ .

If there is a cycle  $p_1 > p_2 > p_3 \dots > p_n > p_1$ , can you find a shorter one?







$$2^n > 10n$$

Where do we start?

It's not true for several low values of  $n$ . You could re-write the predicate as  $P'(n) : 2^{n+6} > 10(n+6)$ , but why not just start later?



$$3^n \geq n^3$$

Check your induction step

Look at the graph.

$$3^n \geq n^3$$

Check your induction step

# Notes