

CSC165 fall 2014

Mathematical expression

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

<http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F14/>

416-978-5899

Course notes, chapter 4



Outline

counting costs

want a coarse comparison of algorithms “speed” that ignores hardware, programmer virtuosity

which speed do we care about: best, worst, average? why?

define idealized “step” that doesn’t depend on particular hardware and idealized “time” that counts the number of steps for a given input.

linear search

```
def LS(A,x) :
```

```
    """ Return index i such that x == L[i].  Otherwise, ret
```

```
1.     i = 0
2.     while i < len(A) :
3.         if A[i] == x :
4.             return i
5.         i = i + 1
6.     return -1
```

Trace $LS([2,4,6,8],4)$, and count the time complexity

$t_{LS}([2,4,6,8],4)$

What is $t_{LS}(A,x)$, if the first index where x is found is j ?

What is $t_{LS}(A,x)$ if x isn't in A at all?



worst case

denote the worst-case complexity for program P with input $x \in I$, where the input size of x is n as $W_P(n) = \max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \wedge \text{size}(x) = n\}$

The upper bound $W_P \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ means

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \wedge \text{size}(x) = n\} \leq cU(n)$$

$$\text{That is: } \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in I, \text{size}(x) \geq B$$

$$\Rightarrow t_P(x) \leq cU(\text{size}(x))$$

The lower bound $W_P \in \Omega(L)$ means

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{t_P(x) \mid x \in I \wedge \text{size}(x) = n\} \geq cL(n)$$

$$\text{That is: } \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \in I, \text{size}(x) = n \wedge t_P(x) \geq cL(n)$$



bounding a sort

```
def IS(A) :  
    """ IS(A) sorts the elements of A in non-decreasing order  
1.     i = 1  
2.     while i < len(A) :  
3.         t = A[i]  
4.         j = i  
5.         while j > 0 and A[j-1] > t :  
6.             A[j] = A[j-1] # shift up  
7.             j = j-1  
8.         A[j] = t  
9.         i = i+1
```

I want to prove that $W_{IS} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

big-oh of n^2

We know, or have heard, that all quadratic functions grow at “roughly” the same speed. Here’s how we make “roughly” explicit.

$$\mathcal{O}(n^2) = \{f : \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists B \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq B \Rightarrow f(n) \leq cn^2\}$$

Those are a lot of symbols to process. They say that $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ is a set of functions that take natural numbers as input and produce non-negative real numbers as output. An additional property of these functions is that for each of them you can find a multiplier c , and a breakpoint B , so that if you go far enough to the right (beyond B) the function is bounded above by cn^2 .

In terms of limits, this says that as n approaches infinity, $f(n)$ is no bigger than cn^2 (once you find the appropriate c).



prove $W_{\text{IS}} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$

prove $W_{\text{IS}} \in \Omega(n^2)$

maximum slice

```
def max_sum(L) :  
    """maximum sum over slices of L"""  
    max = 0  
    i = 0  
    while i < len(L) :  
        j = i + 1  
        while j <= len(L) :  
            sum = 0  
            k = i  
            while k < j :  
                sum = sum + L[k]  
                k = k + 1  
            if sum > max :  
                max = sum  
            j = j + 1  
        i = i + 1  
    return max
```



Notes

annotated slides

- ▶ friday's annotated slides

