### CSC165 fall 2014

### Mathematical expression

Danny Heap
heap@cs.toronto.edu
BA4270 (behind elevators)
http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/165/F14/
416-978-5899

Course notes, chapter 3





### Outline

universally quantified implication, cont'd

existence

notes

annotated slides

## a real inequality

Prove that for every pair of non-negative real numbers (x, y), if x is greather than y, then the geometric mean,  $\sqrt{xy}$  is less than the arithmetic mean, (x + y)/2.

### some directions work better

Prove that for any natural number n,  $n^2$  odd implies that n is odd.

## proving existence

To prove the a set is non-empty, it's enough to exhibit one element. How do you prove:

Froob Pick 
$$\chi = 2$$
. Then  $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$  # well-known. Then  $\chi^3 + 3\chi^2 - 4\chi = 8 + 12 - 8$ 

$$= 12 \quad \# \text{ Sub 2 for } \chi$$
Then  $\exists \chi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \chi^3 - 3\chi^2 - 4\chi = 12 \# 26\mathbb{R} + \text{ satisfies} \\ \# \text{ egn.}$ 

prove a claim about a sequence

Define sequence 
$$a_n$$
 by:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Now prove:

 $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, orall j \in \mathbb{N}, a_j \leq i \Rightarrow j < i$ 

 $a_n=n^2$ 

Pick i=2. Then i EIN # 201N assume j is a representation of N assume a; i # assume A

Then  $j^2 \le 2$  # because  $\sqrt{4}$  in a casing then  $j \le \sqrt{2}$  # because  $\sqrt{4}$  in a casing So  $j \le \sqrt{2}$  < 2 #  $\sqrt{2}$  % 1.414

# prove a claim about a sequence

Define sequence  $a_n$  by:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \qquad a_n = n^2$$

Now prove: Gentid.  $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, a_j \leq i \Rightarrow j < i$  Gutecedent  $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, a_j \leq i \Rightarrow j < i$  Then  $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, a_j \leq i \Rightarrow j < i$  Then  $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in$ 

# contradiction — a special case of contrapositive

Define the prime natural numbers as

 $P = \{ p \in \mathbb{N} \mid p \text{ has exactly two distinct divisors in } \mathbb{N} \}$ . How do you prove:

 $oxed{S}: \qquad orall n \ \widehat{\in \mathbb{N}, |P| > n}$ 

It would be nice to have some result R that leads to S. If you could show  $R \Rightarrow S$ , and that R is true, then you'd be done. But, out of many elementary results, how do you choose an R? Contradiction will often lead you there.



### non-boolean functions

Take care when expressing a proof about a function that returns a non-boolean value, such as a number:

$$\lfloor x \rfloor$$
 is the largest integer  $\leq x$ .

Now prove the following statement (notice that we quantify over  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , not  $\lfloor x \rfloor \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |x| < x+1$$



## using more of the definition

You may have been disappointed that the last proof used only part of the definition of floor. Here's a symbolic re-writing of the definition of floor:

$$orall x \in \mathbb{R} \qquad y = \lfloor x \rfloor \Leftrightarrow y \in \mathbb{Z} \land y \leq x \land (orall z \in \mathbb{Z}, z \leq x \Rightarrow z \leq y)$$

The full version of the definition should prove useful to prove:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |x| > x - 1$$



# proving something false

Define a sequence:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
  $a_n = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ 

(of course, if you treat "/" as integer division, there's no need to take the floor. Now consider the claim:

$$\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, orall j \in \mathbb{N}, j > i \Rightarrow a_j = a_i$$

The claim is false. Disprove it.



## proof by cases

Sometimes your argument has to split to take into account possible properties of your generic element:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 + n \text{ is even}$$

A natural approach is to factor  $n^2 + n$  as n(n + 1), and then consider the case where n is odd, then the case where n is even.



Notes Proof (Contradiction) assume FreN, | P| = N Then JKE 20, ..., ~3, |P| = R Then  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_R\} = P \# \text{ just list primes}$ Then  $m = P_1 \times P_2 \times ... \times P_k \in \mathbb{N}$ Then  $m+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . # N closed under X, +Then  $m+1>1 \# m \ge 2\times3\times5\times...$ Then  $\exists p \in P$ ,  $p \mid (m+1) \# \text{ every } \in \mathbb{N} > 1$  has prime factor. Then  $\exists p \in P$ ,  $p \mid (m+1) \# \text{ every } \in \mathbb{N} > 1$  has prime factor. Also  $p \mid m \# \text{ since } m = f_1 \times f_2 \times ... \times f_R$ So  $p \mid (m+1-m) = 1 \# \text{ factor } \text{ divides } \text{ difference}$ (aster) Then pl1 -> contradiction! -So, our assumption that I neTN, IP = n is false, Since it leads to a contradiction

### annotated slides

- ▶ monday's annotated slides
- wednesday's annotated slides
- ► friday's annotated slides

