## Question 1. [5 MARKS]

Read over the declaration of class **BTNode** as well as the header and docstring for function has\_descending\_branch. Then complete the implementation of has\_descending\_branch.

```
class BTNode:
    """A node in a binary tree."""
    def __init__(self: 'BTNode', item: object,
                 left: 'BTNode' =None, right: 'BTNode' =None) -> None:
        """Initialize this node.
        .....
        self.item, self.left, self.right = item, left, right
def has_descending_branch(T: BTNode) -> bool:
    """Return True if tree rooted at T has a node whose item is greater than
    either its left.item or its right.item
    >>> T = BTNode(1, BTNode(2, BTNode(3), BTNode(0)), BTNode(4, BTNode(5), \
BTNode(6)))
   >>> has_descending_branch(T)
    True
   >>> has_descending_branch(T.right)
   False
    .....
    if T is None:
       return False
    else:
        return ((T.left and T.item > T.left.item) or
                (T.right and T.item > T.right.item) or
                has_descending_branch(T.left) or
                has_descending_branch(T.right))
```

Marking notes: 1 mark for None base case, 2 marks for checking whether T has a branch with appropriate ordering, 2 marks for checking T.left and T.right for branches with appropriate ordering.

E1: doesn't check whether oeft or right are non-None

E2: no recursion

E3, E4: no base case

E5: doesn't check complete tree for descending branches

E6: missing return

E7: recursion not combined correctly

## Question 2. [5 MARKS]

Read over the declarations of classes BTNode and LLNode, as well as the header and docstring for function root\_to\_leaves. Then implement the function root\_to\_leaves.

```
class BTNode:
    """A node in a binary tree."""
    def __init__(self: 'BTNode', item: object,
                 left: 'BTNode' =None, right: 'BTNode' =None) -> None:
        """Initialize this node.
        .....
        self.item, self.left, self.right = item, left, right
class LLNode:
    """A node in a linked list."""
    def __init__(self: 'LLNode', item: object, link: 'LLNode' =None) -> None:
        """Initialize this node.
        .....
        self.item, self.link = item, link
    def __repr__(self: 'LLNode') -> str:
        """Return a string that represents self in constructor (initializer) form.
        >>> b = LLNode(1, LLNode(2, LLNode(3)))
        >>> repr(b)
        'LLNode(1, LLNode(2, LLNode(3)))'
        .....
        return ('LLNode({}, {})'.format(repr(self.item), repr(self.link))
                if self.link else 'LLNode({})'.format(repr(self.item)))
    def __eq__(self: 'LLNode', other: 'LLNode') -> bool:
        """Return whether LLNode self is equivalent to LLNode other"""
        return (isinstance(other, LLNode) and
                self.item == other.item and self.link == other.link)
def root_to_leaves(T: BTNode) -> list:
    .....
   Return list of paths from T to each of its leaves, or []
    if T is None. Each path is a linked list formed from LLNodes.
   You should return a single-node linked list when T has no children.
   >>> T = BTNode(1, BTNode(2, None, BTNode(3)), BTNode(4, BTNode(5), BTNode(6)))
```

```
>>> L1 = root_to_leaves(T)
    >>> L2 = [LLNode(1, LLNode(2, LLNode(3))), LLNode(1, LLNode(4, LLNode(5))), \
LLNode(1, LLNode(4, LLNode(6)))]
    >>> len(L1) == len(L2) and all([p in L2 for p in L1])
    True
    .....
    if T is None:
       return []
    elif T.left is None and T.right is None:
        return [(LLNode(T.item,None))]
    else:
        leftchpaths = root_to_leaves(T.left)
        rightchpaths = root_to_leaves(T.right)
        leftpaths = [LLNode(T.item, P) for P in leftchpaths]
        rightpaths = [LLNode(T.item, P) for P in rightchpaths]
        return leftpaths + rightpaths
```

Marking notes: 1 mark for None base case, 1 mark for leaf base case, 3 marks for computing lists of child paths and combining them into list of paths from this node.

## Question 3. [5 MARKS]

Read over the class declaration for **BTNode** and the header and docstring for function ordered\_and\_bounded. Then implement ordered\_and\_bounded.

```
class BTNode:
    """A node in a binary tree."""
    def __init__(self: 'BTNode', item: object,
                 left: 'BTNode' =None, right: 'BTNode' =None) -> None:
        """Initialize this node.
        .....
        self.item, self.left, self.right = item, left, right
def ordered_and_bounded(T: BTNode, lower: int, upper: int) -> list:
    """Return a list of items, in ascending order, from nodes of T,
   with all items no less than lower and no greater than upper.
    Return [] if T is None. You are *not* allowed to sort any list, and
    you should visit as few nodes as possible.
    preconditions:
                    -- node items in T are comparable,
                     -- T is a binary search tree in ascending order,
                        that is, all items in every left sub-tree are less
```

Marking notes: 1 mark for None base case. 1 mark for getting list from left subtree if lower i = T.item. 1 mark for getting list from right subtree if upper i = T.item. 2 marks for adding T.item to list if it is in interval [lower, upper]. 1 mark off if extra nodes are visited, that is BST property not used. 1 mark off if list is sorted.

## Question 4. [6 MARKS]

Read the functions  $hybrid_search$  and  $hybrid_search2$ . For each function, decide which of the following complexity classes best describe that function's worst-case performance on a list of n elements:

 $\mathcal{O}(1)$   $\mathcal{O}(\lg n)$   $\mathcal{O}(n)$   $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$   $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ 

For each function, explain why your choice of big-Oh complexity makes sense. Also explain what behaviour you expect hybrid\_search and hybrid\_search2 should exhibit when run on a computer on a list of size 2n versus a list of size n.

```
def hybrid_search(x:int,L:list) -> bool:
    """precondition: L is sorted
    >>> L = [1,5,9, 9, 9, 12, 12, 15, 19,20,40,41,42,43,50,100,500]
    >>> hybrid_search(21,L)
    False
    >>> hybrid_search(100,L)
    True
    """
    def helper(i,j) -> bool:
        # precondition: 0 <= i <= j < len(L)
        if (j-i) < len(L)/10:
            return any([y == x for y in L[i:j+1]])</pre>
```

```
if x < L[(i+j)//2]:
    return helper(i, (i+j)//2-1)
elif x > L[(i+j)//2]:
    return helper((i+j)//2+1, j)
else:
    return True
return helper(0,len(L)-1)
```

O(n). The call to the helper methods occur at most 4 times before j - i < len(L)/10, and then we must search a slice of size between n/10 and n/20. Then each element in a slice with at least n/20 elements must be inspected. I expect the running time to roughly double if I increase the size of the list from n to 2n.

Marking notes: 2 marks for choosing O(n) with a suitable explanation. 1 mark if their expectation of how running time scales with doubling the list size is consistent with (whatever) choice of complexity class they make.

```
def hybrid_search2(x:int,L:list) -> bool:
    """precondition: L is sorted
    >>> L = [1,5,9, 9, 9, 12, 12, 15, 19,20,40,41,42,43,50,100,500]
   >>> hybrid_search2(21,L)
   False
   >>> hybrid_search2(100,L)
    True
    .....
    def helper(i,j) -> bool:
        # precondition: 0 <= i <= j < len(L)</pre>
        if (j-i) < 10:
            return any([y == x for y in L[i:j+1]])
        if x < L[(i+j)//2]:
            return helper(i, (i+j)//2-1)
        elif x > L[(i+j)//2]:
            return helper((i+j)//2+1, j)
        else
            return True
    return helper(0,len(L)-1)
```

 $O(\lg n)$ . The helper method is called approximately  $\lg n - 3$  times, and then a linear search of no more than 10 items is performed, so the complexity is proportional to  $\lg n$ . I expect that the running time would increase by a constant as the size of the input list was doubled.

Marking notes: 2 marks for indicating  $O(\lg n)$  and giving a suitable explanation. 1 mark for indicating that run time would increase by a constant if the length of the input list were doubled.