

# CSC148 winter 2014

sorting big-oh  
week 10

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

<http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/148/W14/>

416-978-5899

March 19, 2014

# Outline

assignment # 2 questions

more big-oh, better sorts

## is\_regex(s)

Returns **True** if the string `s` is a valid regular expression, **False** otherwise. Think about...

- ▶ simplest expressions — how can you check for these **and** reject many strings?
- ▶ binary expressions — `|` and `.` — how can you check for these? How can you break up the remainder of the string so that you can check it?
- ▶ unary expressions — `*` — how can you check for these? how can you break up the remainder of the string so that you can check it?

## all\_regex\_permutations(s)

Returns a set (could be empty) of permutations of  $s$  that are valid regular expressions. Think about...

- ▶ how to produce a set of permutations? There is lots of code laying about, including in week 4 of this course's calendar
- ▶ filter out any permutation that isn't a regex — it would sure be nice to have some code that could test whether a string were a regex...
- ▶ a string of length  $n$  has  $n$ -factorial permutations — producing an impractically large set for  $n > 8$ .

## regex\_match(r, s)

Returns **True** if string `s` matches the regular expression equivalent to the tree rooted at `r`, **False** otherwise. Think about...

- ▶ you may assume that `r` is an instance of one of the specialized regular expression tree classes in `regextree.py`
- ▶ what are the simplest cases of string `s` to consider?
- ▶ if the symbol at the root of `r` is a `|`, what do you need to check?
- ▶ if the symbol at the root of `r` is a `.`, what do you need to check?
- ▶ if the symbol at the root of `r` is a `*`, what do you need to check? (more on this next slide)

## star regexes...

The handout says that a string  $s$  matches a regular expression  $r^*$  (where  $r$  is the child regular expression) if and only if:

- ▶  $s$  is the empty string — pretty easy to check **OR**
- ▶  $s = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_k$  where each  $s_i$  matches the child regular expression  $r$ . This seems harder to check — so many ways to break up  $s$ !
- ▶ **equivalently (why?)**  $s = s_1 + s_2$ , where  $s_1$  matches the child regular expression  $r$  and  $s_2$  matches  $r^*$  — now you only have to check every possible way to break  $s$  into two pieces.

## build\_regex\_tree(r)

Return the regular expression tree equivalent to the valid (we promise) regular expression `regex`. Think about:

- ▶ very similar thinking to `is_regex`
- ▶ instead of checking whether `regex` is a regular expression (you are guaranteed that it is), you have to break it into a few pieces to determine which sort of regular expression tree, and provide input strings to form its children (if any)
- ▶ strangely, that's all there is to do!

## quick sort

idea: choose a pivot; decide where the pivot goes with respect to the rest of the list, repeat on the partitions...





a digression...

what could go wrong?

```
def f(n: int, L: list=[]) -> list:  
    L.append(n)  
    return L
```

## quick sort performance

- ▶ how many times do we choose the pivot?
- ▶ how many steps each time we choose a pivot?

# merge sort

idea: divide the list in half, (merge) sort the halves, then merge the sorted results

## merge sort performance

- ▶ how many times do we split the list in half?
- ▶ how many steps each time we split?

## scaling:

How well do these various sorts perform as the size of the problem (list length) increases? Time and compare.

