

A1 - remarks to moss
E3 → wait tests.
grades in general.

CSC148 winter 2014

sorting big-oh
week 10

Danny Heap

heap@cs.toronto.edu

BA4270 (behind elevators)

<http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/~heap/148/W14/>

416-978-5899

March 19, 2014

Outline

assignment # 2 questions

more big-oh, better sorts

is_regex(s)

Returns **True** if the string `s` is a valid regular expression, **False** otherwise. Think about...

- ▶ simplest expressions — how can you check for these **and** reject many strings?

(. |)

- ▶ binary expressions — `|` and `.` — how can you check for these? How can you break up the remainder of the string so that you can check it?

- ▶ unary expressions — `*` — how can you check for these? how can you break up the remainder of the string so that you can check it?

\ 0^{} */*



all_regex_permutations(s)


Returns a set (could be empty) of permutations of `s` that are valid regular expressions. Think about...

- ▶ how to produce a set of permutations? There is lots of code laying about, including in week 4 of this course's calendar
- ▶ filter out any permutation that isn't a regex — it would sure be nice to have some code that could test whether a string were a regex...
- ▶ a string of length n has n -factorial permutations — producing an impractically large set for $n > 8$.

`regex_match(r, s)` → `regextree.py`

Returns **True** if string `s` matches the regular expression equivalent to the tree rooted at `r`, **False** otherwise. Think about...

$1e'$
||

- ▶ you may assume that `r` is an instance of one of the specialized regular expression tree classes in `regextree.py`
- ▶ what are the simplest cases of string `s` to consider?
- ▶ if the symbol at the root of `r` is a `|`, what do you need to check?
 — $S = S_1 + S_2$
- ▶ if the symbol at the root of `r` is a `.`, what do you need to check?
- ▶ if the symbol at the root of `r` is a `*`, what do you need to check? (more on this next slide)

star regexes...

The handout says that a string s matches a regular expression r^* (where r is the child regular expression) if and only if:

- ▶ s is the empty string — pretty easy to check **OR**
- ▶ $s = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_k$ where each s_i matches the child regular expression r . This seems harder to check — so many ways to break up s !
- ▶ **equivalently (why?)** $s = s_1 + s_2$, where s_1 matches the child regular expression r and s_2 matches r^* — now you only have to check every possible way to break s into two pieces.

$(0.1)^*$
"01"
"0101"

build_regex_tree(r)

Return the regular expression tree equivalent to the valid (we promise) regular expression `regex`. Think about:

- ▶ very similar thinking to `is_regex`
- ▶ instead of checking whether `regex` is a regular expression (you are guaranteed that it is), you have to break it into a few pieces to determine which sort of regular expression tree, and provide input strings to form its children (if any)
- ▶ strangely, that's all there is to do!



quick sort

idea: choose a pivot; decide where the pivot goes with respect to the rest of the list, repeat on the partitions...

a digression...

what could go wrong?

```
def f(n: int, L: list=[]) -> list:  
    L.append(n)  
    return L
```

quick sort performance

- ▶ how many times do we choose the pivot?

- ▶ how many steps each time we choose a pivot?